Jim Cox		Design and Sc	ope of Work		Planning		Other Funds	Budį	get	Sustainability and Maintenance	Outcomes		
		The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.		
Project ID	Project Title			piaris.				substitute.				Total Member Comments	
PA-1	DNR Wildlife Management Area and Scientific & Natural Area AcquisitionPhase VI	8	8	8	8	8	4	4	3	5	7	63	
PA-2	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase VI	8	8	8	8	9	7	6	6	5	7	72	
PA-3	Prairie Recovery ProjectPhase V	9	8	8	8	9	8	7	4	8	8	77	
PA-4	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land AcquisitionPhase V	8	8	8	8	7	6	8	7	8	8	76	
PA-5	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex Phase IV	8	7	8	8	8	6	8	8	8	8	77	
PA-6	Accelerated protection of grassland and prairie habitat with (RIM) and (NPB) easements	4	9	5	5	6	0	6	4	5	6	50	
PA-7	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water Phase IV	4	6	5	5	5	8	6	4	5	5	53	
PA-8	Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase V	7	6	6	6	7	0	4	6	5	6	53	
PRE-1	DNR Grassland Phase VI	6	8	6	4	6	0	5	4	5	5	49	
PRE-2	Anoka Sandplain Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Phase III	6	6	4	5	5	6	6	7	4	5	54	
PRE-3	Wirth Park Habitat Enhancements	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	3	2	3	33	
PRE-4	Crow-Hassan Prairie Complex Restoration and Enhancement	6	5	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	5	52	
PRE-5	Praire and Oak Savanna Restoration along Mississippi and Rum Rivers	6	6	6	6	6	5	5	3	5	5	53	
FA-1	Dynamic Forest Conservation	7	7	6	7	6	6	5	6	6	6	62	
FA-2	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Restoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	7	6	7	7	6	5	8	8	6	5	65	
FA-3	Camp Ripley ACUBProtecting one of the last great places in MinnesotaPhase IV	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	51	
FA-4	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat PartnershipPhase V	7	7	7	7	7	5	6	6	6	5	63	
FA-5	Protecting Pinelands Sands Aquifer Forestlands and Aquatic Habitat Phase 1	7	7	7	6	7	2	5	6	6	7	60	
FA-6	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County Phase V	7	6	6	5	5	5	7	7	6	5	59	
FA-7	State Forest Acquisitions Phase II- RJ Dorer Memorial Hardwoods State Forest Land Asset Project	7	7	7	6	7	4	5	6	6	6	61	
FA-8	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase II	8	7	8	7	7	3	6	6	7	7	66	
FRE-1	Big Woods Habitat Corridors in Scott County	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	41	
FRE-2	Floodplain Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River	3	4	4	4	4	3	5	3	3	4	37	
WA-1	RIM-WRP Partnership Phase VI	8	9	8	6	6	8	6	7	6	6	70	
WA-2	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase VI	9	9	8	8	7	7	7	7	8	8	78	

		Design and Scope of Work		Planning			Other Funds	Bud	lget	Sustainability and Maintenance	Outcomes		
Project ID	) Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.	Total Member	Comments
WA-3	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase III	7	6	6	6	6	6	7	6	6	6	62	comments
WRE-1	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement Phase VI	5	7	8	6	6	4	4	4	4	6	54	
WRE-2	Living Shallow Lakes & Wetlands Initiative Phase IV	8	8	7	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	68	
HA-1	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Program - Phase VI	7	7	7	6	7	7	4	5	6	6	62	
HA-2	Metro Big RiversPhase V	COI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		COI	
HA-3	Vermillion River WMA Acquisition	8	8	8	8	7	3	4	3	5	5	59	
HA-4	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes	7	6	7	6	7	6	5	3	5	5	57	
HA-5	3,500-foot Shoreland Acquisition on the St. Croix River	2	6	2	3	3	7	6	4	4	4	41	
HA-6	Habitat Protection/Restoration in Dakota CountyPhase V	6	5	6	6	6	7	6	6	6	6	60	
HA-7	Mustinka River Fish and Wildlife Habitat Corridor Rehabilitation	3	5	3	3	3	3	6	2	4	4	36	
HRE-1	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement & RestorationPhase VI	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	8	8	8	78	
HRE-2	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative Phase II	8	6	6	6	6	6	5	6	4	5	58	
HRE-3	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	5	6	6	5	6	6	6	5	5	5	55	
HRE-4	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Phase II	6	5	6	5	6	2	6	6	5	5	52	
HRE-5	Wildlife and Fishery Habitat Restoration and Enhancement	4	3	3	3	3	5	5	2	4	4	36	
HRE-6	Washington County's Last Best Places	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	3	3	3	34	
HRE-7	Grey Cloud Slough Habitat Restoration	5	5	5	5	4	7	6	7	6	6	56	
HRE-8	Lake Nokomis Habitat Enhancements	4	4	3	2	4	5	5	5	3	3	38	
HRE-9	Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project	3 5	3 5	3 6	2 6	2 6	1	5 5	6 2	2 3	2	29 43	
HRE-10 HRE-11	Northwest Bluffs Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed	4	4	4	4	3	6	6	2	5	4	43	
HAIS-1	A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While Rest	2	5	2	2	3	2	2	5	7	7	37	
HAIS-2	Protect Aquatic Habitat from Asian Carp	1	5	1	1	1	5	3	1	1	1	20	
HAIS-3	Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) Aquatic Invas		4	3	3	1	2	5	5	2	2	30	
HAIS-4	Statewide AIS Facilities and Equipment	4	5	2	2	2	1	5	4	4	2	31	
HAIS-5	Invasive Species Net Duplication	1	1	1	1	1	5	5	5	2	2	24	

CPL-1 Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program ML2014
CPL-2 Conservation Partners Legacy Metro Grant Program ML2014
X-1 Contract Management

**Restoration Evaluations** 

X-2

Υ

		Design and Scope of Work			Planning		Other Funds	Bud	get		Outcomes		
										Sustaina bility and			
		The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address	problem needing immediate		The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC	The request maximizes leverage with other funding	The budget supplements the organization's traditional	The level of funding is reasonable for each	Maintan The request has a well- designed	The stated outcomes provide meaningful		
		the problem(s) outlined.		MN conservations plans.		section priorities	sources.	funding sources	component of	plan for sustainabil	evaluative data.		
Duainet ID	Ducinet Title	outimea.		plans.				and does not substitute.	the budget.	ity and	uala.	Total I	Member Comments
Project ID PA-1	Project Title DNR Wildlife Management Area and Scientific & Natural Area	8	10	8	8	8	1	3	5	maintena 8	8	67	wieniber Comments
17.1	Acquisition Phase VI		10	Ü	Ü	, and the second	-	J			ŭ	07	
PA-2	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase VI	8	10	8	8	8	10	9	8	8	8	85	
PA-3	Prairie Recovery ProjectPhase V	8	10	8	7	8	10	8	6	9	8	82	
PA-4	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land AcquisitionPhase V	8	10	8	8	8	2	8	6	8	8	74	
PA-5	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex Phase IV	8	7	8	8	8	3	8	8	8	7	73	
PA-6	Accelerated protection of grassland and prairie habitat with (RIM) and (NPB) easements	7	10	8	7	8	1	5	4	7	7	64	
PA-7	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water Phase IV	7	7	7	5	6	10	5	8	7	5	67	
PA-8	Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase V	8	6	8	8	8	1	8	8	8	8	71	
PRE-1	DNR Grassland Phase VI	4	5	4	6	7	1	5	5	3	5	45	
PRE-2	Anoka Sandplain Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Phase III	7	3	6	6	6	10	5	7	4	5	59 1	time line is too long
PRE-3	Wirth Park Habitat Enhancements	3	3	6	4	4	10	5	4	5	5	49	time line is to long
PRE-4	Crow-Hassan Prairie Complex Restoration and Enhancement	7	4	7	7	7	10	7	5	6	7	67	
PRE-5	Praire and Oak Savanna Restoration along Mississippi and Rum Rivers	7	4	7	7	6	10	5	7	10	6	69	
FA-1	Dynamic Forest Conservation	5	6	6	5	5	10	7	6	8	6	64	no money for training
FA-2	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Restoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	7	3	7	7	7	10	5	7	6	7		how will residents of the statte find this project can it
FA-3	Camp Ripley ACUBProtecting one of the last great places in MinnesotaPhase IV	7	4	6	6	7	10	4	7	7	7	65	
FA-4	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership - -Phase V	. 8	7	8	8	8	3	8	9	8	8	75	
FA-5	Protecting Pinelands Sands Aquifer Forestlands and Aquatic Habitat Phase 1	7	3	5	6	6	2	3	7	8	6	53	
FA-6	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County Phase V	5	3	7	7	7	3	7	7	8	7	61	
FA-7	State Forest Acquisitions Phase II- RJ Dorer Memorial Hardwoods State Forest Land Asset Project	7	3	7	7	7	2	3	4	8	8	56	
FA-8	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase II	7	3	8	7	7	1	5	2	5	6	51	
FRE-1	Big Woods Habitat Corridors in Scott County	7	3	3	7	6	8	4	5	5	5	53	
FRE-2	Floodplain Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River	4	3	4	4	4	10	2	3	8	3	45	
			•							•			·

Part			Design and Scope of Work			Planning		Other Funds	Bud	get		Outcomes		
WA-2	Project ID	Project Title	are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s)	problem needing immediate	request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations	fully grounded in conservation	effectively addresses relevant LSOHC	maximizes leverage with other funding	supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not	funding is reasonable for each component of	Maintan The request has a well- designed plan for sustainabil ity and	outcomes provide meaningful evaluative	Total	Member Comments
WA-3	WA-1	RIM-WRP Partnership Phase VI	9	10	9	9	9	10	9	8		10	91	
Marcine   Marc	WA-2	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase VI	9	10	8	8	8	10	8	8	9	9	87	
Wile   Living Shallow Lake & Wetlands Initiative - Phase IV   7	WA-3	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase III	7	8	7	6	9	1	8	7	8	7	68	
HA-1 M DNR Aquatic Habitat Program - Phase VI	WRE-1	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement Phase VI	7	9	8	8	8	1	5	5	7	7	65	
MA-3   Mertro Rigo Rover.—Phase V	WRE-2	Living Shallow Lakes & Wetlands Initiative Phase IV	7	9	8	8	8	10	5	7	7	7	76	
HA-3   Vermillion Netw YMAN Acquisition   8   6   8   8   8   1   6   6   6   8   8   8   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	HA-1	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Program - Phase VI	5	1	7	6	6	10	5	2	5	6	53	
HA-5   Enterine Habitate Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesote Lakes   7   3   6   6   6   6   7   7   3   7   6   6   6   6   6   6   6   6   6	HA-2	Metro Big RiversPhase V	6	4	6	8	7	5	6	7	7	7	63	
Lakes   1	HA-3	Vermillion River WMA Acquisition	8	6	8	8	8	1	6	6	8	8	67	
HA-6   Habitat Protection/Restoration in Dakota County —Phase V   8   5   7   7   7   10   7   7   5   6   69     HA-7   Mustinka River Fish and Wildlife Habitat Corridor Rehabilitation   5   2   3   5   4   1   6   3   6   4   39     HRE-1   Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement   8   5   8   8   8   6   7   7   8   9   74     HRE-2   St. Louis River Restoration Initiative —Phase II   5   4   5   4   4   10   5   5   7   5   54     HRE-3   Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program   6   3   8   8   8   8   1   8   3   1   6     HRE-4   Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation — Phase II   6   9   8   8   8   1   8   8   3   1   6     HRE-5   Wildlife and Fishery Habitat Restoration and Enhancement-Metro   4   3   4   3   5   2   4   4   5   4   3     HRE-6   Washington County's Last Best Places   4   3   5   4   4   10   3   5   4   4   4     HRE-7   Grey Cloud-Stough Habitat Restoration   4   3   5   4   4   10   5   4   4   4     HRE-8   Lake Nokomis Habitat Improvement Project   3   3   3   3   2   3   3   4   5   3   3   3     HRE-10   Northwest Bluffs   Habitat Improvement Project   3   3   2   3   4   4   5   0   5     HRE-11   Habitat Improvement Project   3   3   2   3   4   4   5   0   5     HRE-12   Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project   3   3   2   3   3   4   4   5   0   4   3     HRE-13   Habitat Improvement Project   3   3   2   3   4   4   5   5   0   4   3   3     HRE-10   Northwest Bluffs   Habitat Improvement Project   3   3   2   3   4   4   5   5   0   4   3   3     HRE-11   Habitat Improvement Project   3   3   2   3   4   4   10   5   0   4   3   3     HRE-11   Habitat Improvement Project   3   3   2   3   4   4   10   5   0   4   3   3     HRE-12   Habitat Improvement Project   3   3   2   3   4   4   10   5   0   4   3   3     HRE-13   Habitat Improvement Project   3   3   2   3   4   4   10   5   0   4   3   3     HRE-10   Habitat Improvement Project   3   3   2   3   3   4   4   5   5   3   3     HRE-12   Habitat Improvement Project   3   3	HA-4		7	3	6	6	6	10	7	3	7	6	61	
HR-1 Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement Restoration —Phase VI HR-2 St. Louis Kiver Restoration initiative —Phase II 5 4 5 4 4 10 5 5 7 5 7 5 5 7 5 5 7 5 5 7 5 5 7 5 5 7 5 5 7 5 5 7 5 5 7 5 5 7 5 5 7 5 7	HA-5	3,500-foot Shoreland Acquisition on the St. Croix River	3	1	2	2	2	10	3	5	5	3	36	
HRE-1 Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement & 8	HA-6	Habitat Protection/Restoration in Dakota CountyPhase V	8	5	7	7	7	10	7	7	5	6	69	
Restoration - Phase V	HA-7	Mustinka River Fish and Wildlife Habitat Corridor Rehabilitation	5	2	3	5	4	1	6	3	6	4	39	
HRE-3 Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program 6 3 8 8 8 1 8 1 6 52 leverage was received by the city but not dedicated to this project funds went elsewhere can funds be dedicated to stream with the best chance of sustaining natural reproduction verses stocking  HRE-4 Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Phase II 6 9 8 8 8 8 1 8 8 6 70	HRE-1		8	5	8	8	8	6	7	7	8	9	74	
HRE-4 Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Phase II HRE-5 Wildliffe and Fishery Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Metro Region HRE-6 Washington Country's Last Best Places HRE-7 Grey Cloud Slough Habitat Restoration 1 4 3 5 4 4 10 3 5 1 4 43 HRE-8 Lake Nokomis Habitat Enhancements 1 3 4 6 4 4 10 3 5 1 4 43 HRE-9 Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project 1 3 3 3 2 3 3 4 5 3 3 3 3 3 4 5 1 4 4 10 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 1 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 1 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 1 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	HRE-2	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative Phase II	5	4	5	4	4	10	5	5	7	5	54	
HRE-5 Wildlife and Fishery Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Metro Region  HRE-6 Washington County's Last Best Places  4 3 6 6 7 7 7 4 5 6 6 54  HRE-7 Grey Cloud Slough Habitat Restoration  4 3 5 4 10 3 5 1 4 43  HRE-8 Lake Nokomis Habitat Enhancements  3 4 6 6 4 4 10 5 4 3 4 47  HRE-9 Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project  3 3 3 3 2 3 3 4 5 3 3 3 6 6 40  HRE-10 Northwest Bluffs  HRE-11 Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed  3 2 3 4 4 10 5 0 4 3 3 4 6 40  HRE-11 A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While Restoring Continue this work	HRE-3	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	6	3	8	8	8	1	8	3	1	6		to this project funds went elsewhere can funds be dedicated to streams with the best chance of
Region	HRE-4	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Phase II	6	9	8	8	8	1	8	8	8	6	70	
HRE-7 Grey Cloud Slough Habitat Restoration 4 3 5 4 4 10 3 5 1 4 43 HRE-8 Lake Nokomis Habitat Enhancements 3 4 6 4 4 10 5 4 3 4 47 HRE-9 Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project 3 3 3 2 3 3 4 5 3 3 3 3 4 5 4 5 3 3 3 3 4 5 6 4 4 10 5 5 3 3 3 3 4 5 5 6 1 4 4 10 5 5 6 1 1 5 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	HRE-5		4	3	4	3	5	2	4	4	5	4	38	
HRE-8 Lake Nokomis Habitat Enhancements 3 4 6 4 4 10 5 4 3 4 47  HRE-9 Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project 3 3 3 2 3 3 4 5 5 3 3 3 2 feedlot issues need to be completely addressed first  HRE-10 Northwest Bluffs 5 4 4 5 5 5 1 5 2 3 6 40  HRE-11 Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed 3 2 3 4 4 10 5 0 4 3 38  HAIS-1 A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While Restoring Ecosystems 0 9 4 0 5 10 3 5 3 3 4 2 relies on other grants not even applied for yet to continue this work	HRE-6	Washington County's Last Best Places	4	3	6	6	7	7	4	5	6	6	54	
HRE-9 Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project 3 3 3 2 3 4 5 3 3 32 feedlot issues need to be completely addressed first  HRE-10 Northwest Bluffs 5 4 4 5 5 5 1 5 2 3 6 40  HRE-11 Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed 3 2 3 4 4 10 5 0 4 3 38  HAIS-1 A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While Restoring Ecosystems 0 9 4 0 5 10 3 5 3 3 42 relies on other grants not even applied for yet to continue this work		Grey Cloud Slough Habitat Restoration	4	3	5	4	4	10	3	5	1	4		
HRE-10 Northwest Bluffs  5 4 4 5 5 5 1 5 2 3 6 40  HRE-11 Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed  3 2 3 4 4 10 5 0 4 3 38  HAIS-1 A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While Restoring Ecosystems  0 9 4 0 5 10 3 5 3 3 42 relies on other grants not even applied for yet to continue this work		Lake Nokomis Habitat Enhancements	3	4	6	4	4	10	5	4	3	4		
HRE-11 Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed 3 2 3 4 4 10 5 0 4 3 38  HAIS-1 A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While Restoring Ecosystems 0 9 4 0 5 10 3 5 3 3 42 relies on other grants not even applied for yet to continue this work	HRE-9		3	3	3	2	3	3	4	5	3	3	32	feedlot issues need to be completely adressed first
HAIS-1 A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While Restoring Ecosystems  0 9 4 0 5 10 3 5 3 3 42 relies on other grants not even applied for yet to continue this work				•		5	5				3			
Ecosystems continue this work	HRE-11	Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed	3	2	3	4	4	10	5	0	4	3	38	
HAIS-2         Protect Aquatic Habitat from Asian Carp         0         9         5         0         5         7         3         5         3         3         40	HAIS-1		0	9	4	0	5	10	3	5	3	3		
	HAIS-2	Protect Aquatic Habitat from Asian Carp	0	9	5	0	5	7	3	5	3	3	40	

		Design and Scope of Work			Planning	Planning		Bud	get		Outcomes		
										Sustaina			
										bility and			
		The strategies	This is an urgent	If funded, the	The request is	The request	The request	The budget	The level of	Mainten The	The stated		
		,	problem needing	request will	fully grounded	effectively	maximizes	supplements the	funding is	request	outcomes		
		effective, and	immediate		in conservation	addresses	leverage with	•	reasonable for		provide		
		directly address the problem(s)	attention.	address pertinent MN conservations		relevant LSOHC section priorities	other funding sources.	traditional funding sources	each component of	designed plan for	meaningful evaluative		
		outlined.		plans.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		and does not	the budget.	sustainabil	data.		
Project ID	Project Title							substitute.		ity and		Total	Member Comments
HAIS-3	Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) Aquatic Invasive	2	9	4	3	5	1	5	5	2	3	3:	9
	Species (AIS) Management Program												
HAIS-4	Statewide AIS Facilities and Equipment	2	9	4	3	5	1	5	5	2	3	3:	9
HAIS-5	Invasive Species Net Duplication	2	3	2	3	3	10	3	5	1	3	3	5

		Recommend for
		Hearing (Y or N)
CPL-1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program ML2014	У
CPL-2	Conservation Partners Legacy Metro Grant Program ML2014	
		У
X-1	Contract Management	У
X-2	Restoration Evaluations	У

Elizabeth A. Wilkens	Design and Scope of Work Planning Ot						Budget		Sustainability	Outcomes			
	0									and Maintenance			
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful	Total	Member Comments
PA-1	DNR Wildlife Management Area and Scientific &	7	8	7	8	8	6	6	8	7	5	70	
	Natural Area AcquisitionPhase VI												
PA-2	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase VI	7	6	6	8	7	7	6	7	7	6	67	
PA-3	Prairie Recovery ProjectPhase V	9	8	9	9	8	7	9	9	9	8	85	
PA-4	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land	9	9	9	8	8	6	9	9	9	7	83	
DA E	AcquisitionPhase V  Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex Phase IV	9	9	9	9	8	7	9	9	7	8	84	
PA-5 PA-6	Accelerated protection of grassland and prairie habitat	8	8	8	9	8	5	5	5	8	6	70	
17.0	with (RIM) and (NPB) easements	0	Ü	0		0	3		,	Ü	Ü	70	
PA-7	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water Phase IV	9	8	8	9	8	8	5	8	9	8	80	
PA-8	Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase V	7	7	8	7	7	5	7	8	7	5	68	
PRE-1	DNR Grassland Phase VI	8	8	8	8	8	5	5	9	7	8	74	
PRE-2	Anoka Sandplain Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Phase III	9	8	9	8	9	9	8	9	9	8	86	
PRE-3	Wirth Park Habitat Enhancements	8	9	9	8	9	8	6	6	9	8	80	
PRE-4	Crow-Hassan Prairie Complex Restoration and Enhancement	9	7	9	9	9	8	8	9	9	9	86	
PRE-5	Praire and Oak Savanna Restoration along Mississippi and Rum Rivers	9	8	8	9	8	9	8	8	9	8	84	
FA-1	Dynamic Forest Conservation	7	8	8	7	7	8	8	9	7	8	77	
FA-2	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Restoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	9	9	83	
FA-3	Camp Ripley ACUBProtecting one of the last great place	9	8	9	8	8	10	9	9	9	6	85	
FA-4	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat PartnershipPhase V	9	9	8	9	8	6	7	9	8	9	82	
FA-5	Protecting Pinelands Sands Aquifer Forestlands and Aqu	7	7	7	8	8	5	7	8	8	7	72	
FA-6	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County Phase V	6	6	7	7	6	5	8	8	8	6	67	
FA-7	State Forest Acquisitions Phase II- RJ Dorer Memorial Ha	7	6	6	7	7	5	6	8	7	8	67	
FA-8	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase		8	8	8	8	3	7	8	7	8	73	
FRE-1	Big Woods Habitat Corridors in Scott County	9	9	10	9	8	8	8	8	9	9	87	
FRE-2	Floodplain Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River	9	8	9	9	9	8	9	8	9	8	86	
WA-1	RIM-WRP Partnership Phase VI	9	9	9	9	9	10	7	9	9	6	86	
WA-2	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase VI	8	7	7	9	8	8	8	8	9	8	80	
WA-3	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase III	8	8	8	9	8	5	8	8	9	5	76	
WRE-1	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase VI	8	6	8	8	7	5	7	8	7	7	71	
WRE-2	Living Shallow Lakes & Wetlands Initiative Phase IV	9	6	8	8	8	7	7	7	8	7	75	

	0	Design and Scop	e of Work	Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.			The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for	outcomes provide meaningful		Member Comments
HA-1	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Program - Phase VI	9	8	8	8	9	8	substitute.	5	8	9	79	
HA-2	Metro Big RiversPhase V	6	5	6	6	7	6	7	7	9	6	65	
HA-3	Vermillion River WMA Acquisition	8	8	7	6	7	5	8	5	7	7	68	
HA-4	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes	9	7	7	8	8	9	7	7	8	8	78	
HA-5	3,500-foot Shoreland Acquisition on the St. Croix River	8	9	9	8	8	10	9	8	9	7	85	
HA-6	Habitat Protection/Restoration in Dakota County Phase V	9	7	8	9	8	9	8	8	9	9	84	
HA-7	Mustinka River Fish and Wildlife Habitat Corridor Rehabilitation	9	8	8	8	8	5	7	7	8	9	77	
HRE-1	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement & RestorationPhase VI	9	8	9	9	9	7	7	7	9	8	82	
HRE-2	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative Phase II	8	9	9	8	8	10	9	8	7	7	83	
HRE-3	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	8	79	
HRE-4	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Phase II	8	6	5	6	7	5	8	5	6	6	62	
HRE-5	Wildlife and Fishery Habitat Restoration and Enhanceme	9	8	9	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	81	
HRE-6	Washington County's Last Best Places	8	9	8	8	8	7	8	9	9	8	82	
HRE-7	Grey Cloud Slough Habitat Restoration	8	6	7	7	5	5	1	5	8	5	57	
HRE-8	Lake Nokomis Habitat Enhancements	9	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	9	6	81	
HRE-9	Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project	7	7	8	6	7	6	3	7	7	8	66	
HRE-10	Northwest Bluffs	9	8	8	8	7	7	7	8	8	6	76	
HRE-11	Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed	8	8	9	8	8	9	8	8	9	7	82	
HAIS-1	A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While	4	2	4	2	3	1	1	1	2	3	23	
HAIS-2	Protect Aquatic Habitat from Asian Carp	3	3	3	4	4	1	2	2	1	3	26	
HAIS-3	Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) Aquatic II		8	8	6	7	6	6	7	8	7	72	
HAIS-4	Statewide AIS Facilities and Equipment	6	8	7	3	7	3	5	5	6	1	51	
HAIS-5	Invasive Species Net Duplication	8	5	5	7	5	9	5	5	1	1	51	

CPL-1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program ML2014	١
CPL-2	Conservation Partners Legacy Metro Grant Program ML2	2014
X-1	Contract Management	1
X-2	Restoration Evaluations	1

		Design and Scop	e of Work	Planning	-		Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Outcomes	
Project ID	Decide Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.		The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the org's traditional funding sources, does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.	I Member Comments
PA-1	Project Title  DNR Wildlife Management Area and Scientific &	10	1	3 10	10	) 10	1	10		5 10		85 The acquisition costs are quite high.
	Natural Area AcquisitionPhase VI											
PA-2	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase VI	COI	COI	COI	COI	СОІ	COI	СОІ	СОІ	COI	COI COI	Conflict of Interest (recent Pheasants Forever affiliation)
PA-3	Prairie Recovery ProjectPhase V	10	3	3 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	98 I think this is a great project and at a very reasonable cost.
PA-4	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land AcquisitionPhase V	10	8	3 10	10	10	2	2 10	) (	5 10	10	86 Acquisition and easement costs are on the high side.
PA-5	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex Phase IV	10	3	3 10	10	10	1	10		5 10	10	84 Acquisition and restoration costs are on the high side.
PA-6	Accelerated protection of grassland and prairie habitat with (RIM) and (NPB) easements	10	8	10	10	10	1	L 8	3	10	10	80 The easement costs are prohibitively high
PA-7	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water Phase IV	10	3	3 10	10	10	10	10	)	3 10		96 The easement costs are on the high side.
PA-8	Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase V	5	8	3 10	10	0 10	1	10	0	5 6		This was poorly written. It is vague, ambiguous and unclear, and reads like a history lesson and not a grant proposal. They did not explain what they are planning to do with the requested funds. I am also concerned that the maintenance will be a problem given the DNR's existing budget for maintenance of DNR-owned lands
PRE-1	DNR Grassland Phase VI	10	3	3 10	10	10	1	10	) 10	8	10	87 Very cost-efficient project, however, I am concerned that the maintenance will be a problem given the DNR's existing budget for maintenance of DNR- owned lands
PRE-2	Anoka Sandplain Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Phase III	10	5	5 10	10	5	10	) 10	) (	5 8		Many of the projects in this group proposal are very worthy, but the overall score was pulled down by certain projects that weren't explained well and are not (in my opinion) within the scope of the Constitutional Amendment's funding mechanism and should have been submitted to the Parks and Trails fund instead. I would recommend funding on the worthy projects within the LSOHC's scope. The submitting entities should strongly consider separate submissions on future projects. Also, I feel the restoration costs are prohibitively high.
PRE-3	Wirth Park Habitat Enhancements	10	8	3 10	10	5	10	) 10	) (	5 10		Although this is a worthy project, it is not (in my opinion) within the scope of the Constitutional Amendment's funding mechanism and should be submitted to the Parks and Trails fund instead. The proposal indicates that they have received P&T funding for related work in the past. Also, I feel the enhancement costs are prohibitively high. That being said, I would like more information on the impact to migratory birds.
PRE-4	Crow-Hassan Prairie Complex Restoration and Enhancement	10	3	3 10	10	5	10	) 10	) 10	) 10	10	93 Although this is a worthy project, it is not (in my opinion) within the scope of the Constitutional Amendment's funding mechanism and should be submitted to the Parks and Trails fund instead. That being said, this is a dedicated enhancement and restoration of prairie and other habitats, and is very cost-efficient

		Design and Scop	e of Work	Planning Oth		Other Funds	nds Budget		Sustainability and	Outcomes			
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinen MN conservation plans.		The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the org's traditional funding sources, does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful		Member Comments
PRE-5	Praire and Oak Savanna Restoration along Mississippi and Rum Rivers	5	5	1	0 10	5	10	) 10	) 10	10	) 10	85	This was poorly written and does not explain what work is planned for the subject acres. It appears to be substitute funding for what the entity would be doing anyway. In addition, it is not (in my opinion) within the scope of the Constitutional Amendment's funding mechanism and should be submitted to the Parks and Trails fund instead.
FA-1	Dynamic Forest Conservation	10	7	1	0 10	10	10	10	10	) į	5 10	92	The discussion of past accomplishments/future plans is helpful.  Reasonable costs for proposed work, but plan for sustainability is vague.
FA-2	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Restoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River	10	7	1	0 10	10	10	) 10	10	10	10	3,	Well-thought out proposal with excellent maintenance plan. Acquisition costs seem reasonable.
FA-3	Camp Ripley ACUBProtecting one of the last great places in MinnesotaPhase IV	10		1					10			30	I feel that the wetland/prairie easements are be more important than the forest easements.
FA-4	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat PartnershipPhase V	COI	COI	COI	COI	СОІ	COI	COI	СОІ	COI	СОІ	COI	Conflict of Interest (recent Pheasants Forever affiliation)
FA-5	Protecting Pinelands Sands Aquifer Forestlands and Aquatic Habitat Phase 1	10	7	1	0 10	10	1	10	10	8	3 10	86	I feel this is an important project and well-written, but I am concerned that the maintenance will be a problem given the DNR's existing budget for maintenance of DNR-owned lands
FA-6	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County Phase V	10	10	1	0 10	10	2	2 10	10	10	10	92	This is one of the few proposals where I see extreme urgency as a factor due to the potential permanent lost opportunity.
FA-7	State Forest Acquisitions Phase II- RJ Dorer Memorial Hardwoods State Forest Land Asset Project	10	7	1	0 10	) 10	1	10	) (	10	10	86	I think this is a great project but am concerned that the acquisition costs are on the high side, and there isn't much information about the current use of the proposed 828 acres to indicate what's being done to it, if anything. The two acres of easement are prohibitively high in cost.
FA-8	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase II	10	8	1	0 10	10	1	10	10	10	10	89	The acquisition costs are on the high side. The rest of the project seems cost-efficient. I feel the prairie work is more important than the forest work.
FRE-1	Big Woods Habitat Corridors in Scott County	7	6	1	0 10	5	,	7	, ε	•	5 7	71	I have several concerns with this project. The proposal is extremely vague about exactly what restoration and enhancement are going to be performed on the 635 acres. The restoration costs are prohibitively high. The maintenance and sustainability does not seem realistic, given the prior succesful projects were very few acres in comparison to the scope of this project, and the fact that the County hadn't maintained it in the past. Finally, it is not (in my opinion) within the scope of the Constitutional Amendment's funding mechanism and should be submitted to the Parks and Trails fund instead.
FRE-2	Floodplain Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River	7	7	1	0 10	8	10	) 10	) 6	į	7	80	This project has an extremely high cost for an enhancement project and it doesn't appear to merit the dollars expended per acre. In addition, as stated in the proposal, there will be little ultimate value to the project if it can't be continued throughout the region.
WA-1	RIM-WRP Partnership Phase VI	10	10	1	0 10	10	10	) 10	10	10	10	100	This is a great partnership and a fantastic opportunity to leverage MN dollars with federal dollars.
WA-2	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase VI	COI	COI	COI	СОІ	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	СОІ	COI	Conflict of Interest (recent Pheasants Forever affiliation)
WA-3	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase III	10	7	1	0 10	10	1	10	10	10	10	88	Good project with proven track record for success.

		Design and Scor	e of Work	Planning		Other Funds			Sustainability and	Outcomes			
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinen MN conservation plans.		The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the org's traditional funding sources, does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful	Total	Member Comments
WRE-1	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement	- 10	) 0	3 10	) 10	) 10	) 2	10	10	C	10		I feel this is an important project and well-written, but I am concerned that
WKL-1	Phase VI		, 6	) 10		, 10	, s	TC TC	, 10	C	10		the maintenance will be a problem given the DNR's existing budget for maintenance of DNR-owned lands
WRE-2	Living Shallow Lakes & Wetlands Initiative Phase IV	10	) 8	3 10	10	) 10	) 7	10	9	8	10	92	I feel this is an important project and well-written, but I am concerned that the maintenance will be a problem given the DNR's existing budget for maintenance of DNR-owned lands. Also concerned that restoration of the 100 acres is very high in cost, and would like more information about the restoration process under consideration.
HA-1	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Program - Phase VI	10	8	10	10	) 10	10	10	1	10	10	89	The restoration costs are very reasonable, but the acquisition, easement, and enhancement figures are all prohibitively high. I would like to see more information about what is involved.
HA-2	Metro Big RiversPhase V	10	5	10	10	5	10	10	1	3	8	77	Many of the projects in this group proposal are very worthy, but the overall score was pulled down by certain projects that weren't explained well and are not (in my opinion) within the scope of the Constitutional Amendment's funding mechanism and should have been submitted to the Parks and Trails fund instead. I would recommend funding on the worthy projects within the LSOHC's scope. The submitting entities should strongly consider separate submissions on future projects.
HA-3	Vermillion River WMA Acquisition	10	8	3 10	10	10	1	10	1	8	10	78	The acquisition costs, although a rare opportunity, are prohibitively high. Also, I am concerned that the maintenance will be a problem given the DNR's existing budget for maintenance of DNR-owned lands.
HA-4	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes	10	8	10	10	10	10	10	2	3	10	88	The acquisition costs are prohibitively high. Also, I am concerned that the maintenance will be a problem given the DNR's existing budget for maintenance of DNR-owned lands.
HA-5	3,500-foot Shoreland Acquisition on the St. Croix River	10	5	10	10	5	10	10	1	3	10	79	The acquisition cost, although a rare opportunity, is beyond prohibitively high. In addition, the plan for maintenance reads like they will request grants to fix it after there's a problem, but have no maintenance plan otherwise. Finally, since this entire section of property is adjacent to a state trail, it is not (in my opinion) within the scope of the Constitutional Amendment's funding mechanism and should be submitted to the Parks and Trails fund instead.
HA-6	Habitat Protection/Restoration in Dakota County Phase V	10	8	10	) 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	30	Good project, well written.
HA-7	Mustinka River Fish and Wildlife Habitat Corridor Rehabilitation	10		10				10		10		,,,	The acquisition cost, although a rare opportunity, is prohibitively high.
HRE-1	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement & RestorationPhase VI	10		10				10		10			The enhancement costs are prohibitively high, but I would like to see more information about what is involved.
HRE-2	St. Louis River Restoration InitiativePhase II	10		10						10			The restoration costs are prohibitively high, but I would like to see more information about what is involved.
HRE-3	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	1	10	10	91	The restoration costs are prohibitively high, but I would like to see more information about what is involved.

		Design and Scop	pe of Work	Planning			Other Funds	Budget			Outcomes	
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	re This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	g request will		The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the org's traditional funding sources, does not substitute.	reasonable for	and Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for t sustainability and maintenance.	a The stated outcomes provide meaningful d evaluative data.	Total Member Comments
HRE-4	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Phase II	10	10 8	8 10	10 10	10 10	1	7	7 10	3 (	8 10	I gave a lower score on the supplement factor because the proposal indicates they have additional funds available for annual maintenance, and if they have that, they should be contributing to the project. Also, I am concerned that the maintenance will be a problem given the DNR's existing budget for maintenance of DNR-owned lands.
HRE-5	Wildlife and Fishery Habitat Restoration and Enhancement -Metro Region	10	10 5	5 10	10 10	) .	\$	8 10	10 10	10 10	10 10	Many of the projects in this group proposal are very worthy, but the overall score was pulled down by certain projects that are not (in my opinion) within the scope of the Constitutional Amendment's funding mechanism and should have been submitted to the Parks and Trails or Clean Water funds instead. I would recommend funding on the worthy projects within the LSOHC's scope. The submitting entities should strongly consider separate submissions on future projects.
HRE-6	Washington County's Last Best Places		5 6	6 10	10 10	10 10	.0 10	0 10	.0	8 10	10	By I have several concerns with this project. The proposal is extremely vague about the St Pau Baldwin Plains and Moraines subsection, the mosaic, and the St Croix Rover portions. Also, the restoration costs are quite high for
HRE-7	Grey Cloud Slough Habitat Restoration	10	0 7	7 10	10	10 10	.0 10	) 1/	10 8	8 10	10	
HRE-8	Lake Nokomis Habitat Enhancements	10	ο ε	6 10	.0 10	3 8	3	2 10	0 ;	8 10	10 10	I feel that much of this project is more suited for the Clean Water fund due to the water quality issues being discussed in the proposal.
HRE-9	Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project	S <sub>a</sub>	8 6	6 10	10	5 8	3	1 10	10 10	10 10	10	0 86 I feel that much of this project is more suited for the Clean Water fund due to the water quality issues being discussed in the proposal. Also, the proposal needs more details on the design and scope of work.
HRE-10	Northwest Bluffs	10	10 6	6 10	10	) 5	,	1 10	0	2 10	10	74 The enhancement costs are prohibitively high. Also, it is not (in my opinion) within the scope of the Constitutional Amendment's funding mechanism and should be submitted to the Parks and Trails fund instead. The proposal indicates that they have received P&T funding for related work in the past.
HRE-11	Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed	10	5	5 10	10	) 5	5 10	) 10	10 :	1 10	10	The enhancement costs are prohibitively high. Also, it is not (in my opinion) within the scope of the Constitutional Amendment's funding mechanism and should be submitted to the Parks and Trails or Clean Water funds instead.
HAIS-1	A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While Restoring Ecosystems	5	5 1	1	1	. 1	1 10	0 10	o	1 10	) 1	This project is not (in my opinion) within the scope of the Constitutional Amendment's funding mechanism for any of the Legacy Amendment. The funding of an endowment to hire a person does not directly relate to success in any of the other scored areas, and there are no funds to actually support any projects that might be recommended by such a person. Further, although the strategy is clear, I do not think that it does directly affect the problems outlined.
HAIS-2	Protect Aquatic Habitat from Asian Carp	5	5 6	6 10	10	8	. 7	7 10	0	5	5 1	This proposal is requesting funds for some unknown future project that may or may not result in a solution. We are being asked for \$6.5 million with no information on how it will be spent, other than conjecture and hyperbole. Also to the extent that the proposal affects water quality issues, I recommend it be submitted for Clean Water Fund consideration.
	Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) Management Program	10	10 6	6 10	10	)	ş	1 10	10 10	0 8	8 10	10 83 I feel that this project is more suited for the Clean Water fund due to the water quality issues being discussed in the proposal.

		Design and Scop	e of Work	Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and	Outcomes		
			_							Maintenance			
		The strategies are	This is an urgent	If funded, the	The request is	The request	The request	The budget	The level of	The request has a	The stated		
		clear, effective,	problem needing	request will	fully grounded in	effectively	maximizes	supplements the	funding is	well-designed	outcomes provide		
		and directly	immediate	effectively	conservation	addresses	leverage with	org's traditional	reasonable for	plan for	meaningful		
		address the	attention.	address pertinent	science.	relevant LSOHC	other funding	funding sources,	each component	sustainability and	evaluative data.		
		problem(s)		MN conservations		section priorities	sources.	does not	of the budget.	maintenance.			
Project ID	Project Title	outlined.		plans.				substitute.				Total	Member Comments
	Statewide AIS Facilities and Equipment	10	) (	5 10	10	8	1	. 10	1	6	10		The cost of this project is prohibitively high, and I feel it is more suited for the Clean Water fund.
HAIS-5	Invasive Species Net Duplication	10	)	5 10	10	) 8	10	10	1	10	1	76	This is an interesting idea, but more information should be provided about the number of eligible fishermen, the cost of the nets, the number of nets likely to be needed per fishermen, and the number of lakes that utilize the technique.

CPL-1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program ML2014	N	No need for hearing, I would agree that we should continue to fund this.
CPL-2	Conservation Partners Legacy Metro Grant Program ML2014	N	No need for hearing, I would agree that we should fund this.
X-1	Contract Management	N	No need for hearing, I would agree that we should continue to fund this.
X-2	Restoration Evaluations	N	No need for hearing, I would agree that we should continue to fund this.

		Design and Sc	ope of Work		Planning		Other Funds	ьиа	ger	and Maintenance	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful	Total	Member Comments
PA-1	DNR Wildlife Management Area and Scientific & Natural Area	8	9	10	8	10	1	7	5	5	9	72	Focus seems to be on hunting before habitat in narrative which is backward.
	AcquisitionPhase VI												Prairie plan should focus on native prairie first and not lands requiring restoration.  Proposal indicates restoration but there is no requested funds or leverage indicated for restoration.  Answer to open to hunting and fishing question is NO?  Seems like a wide area instead of focused effort.
PA-2	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase VI	8	9	10	7	10	2	6	7	5	9	73	How is this different than PA-1? Hunting and fishing on fee land is part of the deal but the motivation should be habitat
													protection, not places to hunt and fish. Additions should be the focus, not new areas. With all the expiring CRP, why buy any cropland to retire? New WMAs? Why with all the CRP land shrinkage would we not focus on existing investment enhancement? \$300K in supplies but no restoration. Seems unreasonable. Why fund PF national grants staff?
PA-3	Prairie Recovery ProjectPhase V	8	8	10	8	10	4	8	7	6	8	77	Are acquisition costs for native prairie lands? What is the long term costs for management and how will they be covered? Cost per acres restored/enhanced seems high.
PA-4	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land AcquisitionPhase V	9	10	10	10	10	2	8	7	8	9	83	What is in the contracts budget?
PA-5	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex Phase IV	8	8	10	8	10	1	8	9	8	8	78	Lack of hunting and fishing opportunities is not a habitat focused reason for funding.
PA-6	Accelerated protection of grassland and prairie habitat with (RIM) and (NPB) easements	8	9	10	8	10	1	7	7	8	8	76	Combined cost per acre of CRP and then RIM easement - and % of value of land 2 full time easement processors for 3 years?  A full time engineer for 3 years?
PA-7	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and WaterPhase IV	9	8	9	7	10	9	8	8	5	8	81	Can we get schedule of how much land per year is rolling out of CRP? And % reenrolling (and for how long the contracts are and what the % of FMV the contract represents)? Why does no one worry about the effect of crop insurance on CRP programs? What is the effect of 200' each side of a ditch/stream on insects, mammals, amphibians, etc? - especially in areas with lots of agricultural inputs.  % of FMV?  What is 1 GIS person going to do for 3 years working on this?
PA-8	Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase V	6	6	10	7	10	1	5	7	7	8	67	Tourism and new business concepts are not a desired outcome of habitat funding.  Not sure if the priority is native prairie or grasslands.  Focus areas are different in narrative to county locations identified.  Proposal states funding will go to parking lots.  Not sure what contract cost includes.
PRE-1	DNR Grassland Phase VI	6	6	8	7	10	1	7	7	3	5	60	Grassland conversion? Pheasant stamp allocation is not LSOHC business. Prairie Cons. Plan - is this on permanently protected land? Farm bill assistance? NO cost of easement although 4000 acres will be protected at a cost of \$272,400

Other Funds

Budget

Sustainability Outcomes

Planning

		Design and S	cope of Work		Planning		Other Funds	Bud	get	Sustainability and	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful	Total	Member Comments
PRE-2	Anoka Sandplain Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Phase	7	6	8	8	10	3	7	6	6	8	69	What is the development pressure on the sandplain today. Can it be quantified?
	III												Is the use of volunteers to do restoration work cost effective to professional contractors? Long term plan for restoration efforts? Explain herbicide cattail control. 2 acres of "habitat" restored for \$218,000????
PRE-3	Wirth Park Habitat Enhancements	8	7	10	8	10	4	7	8	5	6	73	how to do cattail removal.  How to manage reed canary grass long term given the seed bank?  Not sure of the plan for permanence of enhancement.  Explain the difference between professional services and contracts.
PRE-4	Crow-Hassan Prairie Complex Restoration and Enhancement	7	6	8	7	10	3	5	7	6	7	66	Explain cattail and phragmighty removal
PRE-5	Prairie and Oak Savanna Restoration along Mississippi and Rum Rivers	7	5	8	8	10	3	6	6	4	7	64	0
FA-1	Dynamic Forest Conservation	8	6	8	8	10	4	7	7	3	7	68	what is included in contract costs? 4 year full time position to manage contracts seems high.
FA-2	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Restoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	9	8	8	8	10	5	8	8	8	8	80	Is restoration of acquired lands the match?
FA-3	Camp Ripley ACUBProtecting one of the last great places in MinnesotaPhase IV	5	6	7	5	10	8	4	8	5	7	65	Why should we invest to protect the functioning of Camp Ripley - should that not be the federal government/national guard's responsibility?  Habitat funds should be about habitat but this proposal seems to promote hunting as a goal. But the project is an easement project with no public access.  Why should we support Camp Ripley and their economic impact?  How is value of easements determined? is the degradation of value due to noise pollution a factor? With 250 landowners wanting to sign up, something must be amiss in the valuations.
FA-4	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership - -Phase V	7	6	8	9	10	1	8	8	5	8	70	0
FA-5	Protecting Pinelands Sands Aquifer Forestlands and Aquatic Habitat Phase 1	8	5	8	7	10	1	7	8	7	7	68	What impact is climate change projected to have on dry pine forest?  Are we being asked to recommend a project that would protect the aquifer for agriculture? What are they willing to contribute?
FA-6	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County Phase V	9	8	7	7	10	1	8	9	9	7	75	0
FA-7	State Forest Acquisitions Phase II- RJ Dorer Memorial Hardwoods State Forest Land Asset Project	6	6	7	6	10	1	7	6	9	6	64	We are not focused on development of recreational opportunities.  Contract cost of \$400,000? For acquisition?
FA-8	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase II	8	9	9	8	10	1	7	8	8	7	75	Public access is not our goal.  No visible plan for funding future restoration needs.
FRE-1	Big Woods Habitat Corridors in Scott County	6	5	8	6	10	2	7	6	5	6	61	Big woods habitat - but doing prairie restoration on cropland?  What species would this restoration help?  What has changed in the last 10 years to create "exponential degradation" of subject lands?  Who do the contracts go to and what will they do specifically?
FRE-2	Floodplain Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	COI	0

		Design and So	cope of Work		Planning		Other Funds	Bud	get	Sustainability and	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful	Total	Member Comments
WA-1	RIM-WRP Partnership Phase VI	8	9	8	8	10	10	6	5	5	7	76	What does leverage pay for? Explain how it reduces cost of preservation for the State? If no farm bill or no leverage, what is plan B to get leverage or does program go away? 2 full time people for 75 easements for 3 years?  DU role for \$1M but nothing in budget for restoration/enhancement.
WA-2	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase VI	8	9	9	9	10	6	7	7	8	7	80	Public hunting is not the rational for these funds - habitat is.
WA-3	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase III	8	7	8	9	10	1	8	9	6	7	73	0
WRE-1	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement Phase VI	8	7	8	9	10	1	6	Missing personnel	5	7	61	Restoring wetlands is not just a waterfowl issue. Personnel chart is missing
WRE-2	Living Shallow Lakes & Wetlands Initiative Phase IV	8	7	8	9	10	3	7	7	5	7	71	How is this different than WRE-1? Almost 10 times as costly per acre as WRE-1. Why? This work will benefit more than ducks, why is that not highlighted? DU Bio-engineering staff seems high.
HA-1	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Program - Phase VI	7	7	8	9	10	5	7	7	5	7	72	0
HA-2	Metro Big RiversPhase V	7	8	8	7	10	4	7	7	6	7	71	Wildlife dependent recreation is not a goal of LSOHC.  Is GRG's program volunteer dependent and if so, is that more cost effective than using contractors?  Budget does not capture total costs of fee acquisition correctly
HA-3	Vermillion River WMA Acquisition	9	7	8	6	10	1	8	8	5	8	70	Hunting land and fishing opportunities are not the goal - habitat is.  No open to hunting and fishing?  What is the need for a contract for \$322K for this purchase?
HA-4	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes	9	8	8	8	10	6	8	8	8	7	80	Sport fishing is not the goal - habitat protection is.
HA-5	3,500-foot Shoreland Acquisition on the St. Croix River	6	6	6	6	5	10	7	5	8	5	64	Recreational opportunities is not LSOHC goal.  What is the development threat (by code)  Value of trail?  Will county issue bonds? Has it issued any since 2006 approval of referendum?  Actual cost if over \$300K per acre.  This looks to be a recreational project more than habitat.
HA-6	Habitat Protection/Restoration in Dakota CountyPhase V	8	8	8	7	10	4	8	7	8	7	75	0
HA-7	Mustinka River Fish and Wildlife Habitat Corridor Rehabilitation	7	3	7	6	7	1	7	6	9	7	60	is this all or nothing? Very high cost per mile.
HRE-1	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement & RestorationPhase VI	9	6	9	8	10	3	7	8	7	8	75	Improving access is not the purpose of these funds.
HRE-2	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative Phase II	8	6	9	8	10	8	8	8	9	7	81	0
HRE-3	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	8	5	7	6	10	6	7	5	1	6	61	Restoration should be for habitat not recreational purposes. Very expensive per mile - exceeding any other project we have looked at. What is the breakdown between planning and actual restoration work?. What would they do if no leverage was found? Seems to include funds to manage a public process - is this what we want to be involved with?
HRE-4	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Phase II	6	5	7	7	5	1	5	6	3	7	52	Seems like aggressive beaver trapping would be far cheaper than this aggressive method.  5 project manager for 4 years just to put contracts in place?

		Design and So	cope of Work		Planning		Other Funds	Bud	get	Sustainability and	Outcomes		
Proiect ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful	Total	Member Comments
HRE-5	Wildlife and Fishery Habitat Restoration and Enhancement -	7	6	8	7	7	3	7	7	3	7	62	should be separate projects as they do not show much linkage.  Projects under \$400K should apply for Conservation Partners grants.
	Metro Region												#8 shows no acreage so understanding cost effectiveness is not possible.  #10 seems to be a short term fix that will have to be repeated year after year.  Impossible to ascertain sustainability of improvements in the format this is submitted in.  Unable to understand supplemental nature of funding as presented.  Is "contracts" with outsiders or with implementing agencies?
HRE-6	Washington County's Last Best Places	7	7	8	8	10	3	7	7	6	7	70	Leverage of support services seems not real leverage.
HRE-7	Grey Cloud Slough Habitat Restoration	6	5	8	8	10	10	9	8	5	5	74	LSOHC purpose is not to provide funds for recreational purposes.  The proposal seems light on the biological benefits of this. Why would this be good for wildlife habitat?  What will remove the likely vegetative matter that has built up over the last 50 years that would make this a functioning slough?
HRE-8	Lake Nokomis Habitat Enhancements	6	5	6	7	10	5	7	7	6	7	66	How do you remove carp for "up to three years"? Or does this mean they will be netted for 3 years instead of rotonone treatment of the lake and restocking of other fish? The real question is if the strategy is long or short term. It appears short term by the description.
HRE-9	Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project	7	5	7	6	10	1	1	7	5	6	55	carp control or eradication? What is being done to control flows from the feedlot so there is a permanent solution? What is the carp control and how effective would it be? How would this be funded going forward?
HRE-10	Northwest Bluffs	8	6	8	7	10	1	7	8	7	7	69	Adjacent development is the partial cause of the dedgradation of the habitat but cannot be fixed.
HRE-11	Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed	5	6	5	6	7	8	7	2	5	5	56	Very high cost per acre. Would it not be better to just sod in this city lot? Should this not be referred to Conservation Partners?
HAIS-1	A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While Restoring	4	4	5	8	7	1	6	4	8	7	54	This seems like research funding more than restoration funding.
	Ecosystems												While it lists great leverage, the leveraged funds are already committed (but not to the position as outlined) and really don't count as leverage.  Office/workspace remodel seems very high in cost.
HAIS-2	Protect Aquatic Habitat from Asian Carp	5	8	8	6	8	2	5	1	1	5	49	The lack of specifics makes this hard to evaluate against other projects.  Leverage seems like a stretch since those funds were in place for existing projects that this adds to after the fact.
HAIS-3	Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) Management Program	5	8	6	5	8	1	6	5	5	5	54	Isn't inventory work really research? Containment of small AIS populations makes some sense if there is the opportunity to eradicate. Is there? Would the inspection program be mandatory or would it be voluntary. What about private property access? Painter Creek project seems like research not restoration. Explain the value of a access improvement at a lake that has significant AIS infestations already vs somewhere else?
HAIS-4	Statewide AIS Facilities and Equipment	4	8	6	4	5	1	5	4	5	4	46	Proposal shows "protect in fee" but there is no land acquisition being done.  Where is legislation to require decontamination rather than depend on good intentions?  Watershed districts have the power to create income but the proposal indicates there are no alternatives.
HAIS-5	Invasive Species Net Duplication	5	5	4	3	6	10	4	5	3	3	48	would nets be used exclusively for this purpose?

		Design and S	cope of Work		Planning		Other Funds	Bud	get	Sustainability and	Outcomes			
		The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and	outcomes provide			
Project ID	Project Title	-1		piaris.				substitute.				Total	Member Comments	
(	'	0	Recommend for Hearing (Y or N)											0
CPL-1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program ML2014		COI											0
CPL-2	Conservation Partners Legacy Metro Grant Program ML2014		Υ											0
X-1	Contract Management		N											0
X-2	Restoration Evaluations		N											0

		Design and S	cope of Work		Planning		Other Funds	Buc	iget	Maintenance	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	meaningful	Total	Member Comments
PA-1	DNR Wildlife Management Area and Scientific & Natural Area AcquisitionPhase VI	10	8	9	10	8	0	8	6	6	9	74	n n
PA-2	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase VI	8	7	9	8	9	1	8	4	6	8	68	U U
PA-3	Prairie Recovery ProjectPhase V	10	10	9	10	10	2	8	8	8	9	84	.,
PA-4	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land AcquisitionPhase V	10	10	9	10	10	1	8	6	8	8	80	0
PA-5	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex Phase IV	9	6	9	8	8	1	8	5	6	3	63	0
PA-6	Accelerated protection of grassland and prairie habitat with (RIM) and (NPB) easements	10	10	9	9	10	0	6	7	6	9	76	0
PA-7	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water Phase IV	8	6	7	7	6	10	8	6	6	4	68	0
PA-8	Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase V	8	7	10	8	7	0	10	6	6	8	70	0
PRE-1	DNR Grassland Phase VI	9	9	10	9	8	0	8	8	6	7	74	Public access? High % Direct Support Svcs. Low \$/ac.
PRE-2	Anoka Sandplain Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Phase	8	7	8	8	8	2	8	6	6	8	69	Public access? Low Enhance \$/ac.
PRE-3	Wirth Park Habitat Enhancements	4	1	5	4	5	3	2	5	7	4	40	Miss Flyway more than immediately over River
PRE-4	Crow-Hassan Prairie Complex Restoration and Enhancement	6	3	4	5	5	2	0	9	7	6	47	Low Enhance \$/ac
PRE-5	Praire and Oak Savanna Restoration along Mississippi and Rum Rivers	6	3	5	5	5	2	5	6	6	6	49	Public access? Describe equip & supplies?
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FA-1	Dynamic Forest Conservation	8	1	10	8	10	2	7	9	7	7	69	"Dynamic" vs. "Young"? Low Restore \$/ac.
FA-2	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Restoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	7	3	8	7	7	3	5	7	6	5	58	Must be permanent, or returned to State. Should have equal access/rules for all.
FA-3	Camp Ripley ACUBProtecting one of the last great places in MinnesotaPhase IV	7	4	6	6	7	6	8	7	6	5	62	0
FA-4	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat PartnershipPhase V	8	5	8	8	8	1	7	7	7	7	66	Appraisals final? Low Enhance \$/ac.
FA-5	Protecting Pinelands Sands Aquifer Forestlands and Aquatic Habitat Phase 1	8	7	8	8	8	1	7	7	7	7	68	0
FA-6	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County Phase V	6	1	4	5	8	1	8	9	8	4	54	Status of previous yrs' funding?
FA-7	State Forest Acquisitions Phase II- RJ Dorer Memorial Hardwoods State Forest Land Asset Project	10	1	6	6	5	1	2	7	6	6	50	0
FA-8	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase II	8	7	8	8	8	0	6	8	6	6	65	High % Direct Support Svcs
FRE-1	Big Woods Habitat Corridors in Scott County	7	2	8	7	7	2	5	7	7	7	59	Public access? High Restore \$/ac.

Planning

Other Funds

Budget

Design and Scope of Work

Sustainability and Outcomes

Design and Scope of Work	Planning	Other Funds	Budget	Sustainability and	Outcomes
				Maintenance	

Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	meaningful	Total Member Comments
FRE-2	Floodplain Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River	8	1	4	5	6	3	5	6	6	5	49 Public access?
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	,	0	U	0	O	U	U		O	U	O	
WA-1	RIM-WRP Partnership Phase VI	10	8	9	10	8	10	8	8	6	8	85 0
WA-2	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase VI	10	8	10	8	10	4	9	5	6	8	78 High Protect Fee \$/ac
WA-3	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase III	10	7	10	9	10	0	6	7	8	7	74 0
WRE-1	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement Phase VI	9	8	10	9	10	0	8	7	6	6	73 Low Enhance \$/ac
WRE-2	Living Shallow Lakes & Wetlands Initiative Phase IV	10	8	10	10	10	3	8	9	6	6	80 Restore \$/ac
(	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HA-1	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Program - Phase VI	10	9	10	10	10	3	6	6	7	8	79 0
HA-2	Metro Big Rivers Phase V	10	6	10	8	8	3	8	6	8	6	73 Equipment/tools? Low Easement \$/ac.
HA-3	Vermillion River WMA Acquisition	9	6	5	7	7	0	5	6	9	7	61 What do "contracts" cover? High Protect Fee \$/ac.
HA-4	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes	7	6	5	7	7	4	5	5	8	6	60 Woods Bay portion preferable. High Protect Fee \$/ac.
HA-5	3,500-foot Shoreland Acquisition on the St. Croix River	3	0	1	2	2	10	1	3	7	2	Recreational, not habitat. Appraisal status? EXTREMELY High \$/ac.
HA-6	Habitat Protection/Restoration in Dakota CountyPhase V	5	6	6	6	6	4	6	7	6	4	56
HA-7	Mustinka River Fish and Wildlife Habitat Corridor Rehabilitation	8	5	5	5	6	0	4	5	7	7	52 Map needed. Very High Restore \$/ac.
HRE-1	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement & Restoration Phase VI	10	6	10	8	10	2	10	6	7	7	76 Map needed. High Enhance \$/ac.
HRE-2	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative Phase II	7	5	5 10	8	9	-	6 8		9	6	72 High % Direct Support Svcs. Very High Restore \$/ac.
HRE-3	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	6	ŝ	3 4	6	3		5 2	3	6	6	44 "Critical" habitat? Rank in order of best habitat? EXTREMELY High Restore \$/ac.
HRE-4	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Phase II	8	4	6	6	6		0 8	8	6	6	58 Equipment=trees stock?
HRE-5	Wildlife and Fishery Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Metro Region	6	1	3	5	7		1	7	6	5	42 All duplicate, CPL-eligible, disparate, & temporary water treatment projects. No
HRE-6	Washington County's Last Best Places	5	1	5	4	7		1 1	7	6	5	42 Map needed
HRE-7	Grey Cloud Slough Habitat Restoration	4	(	2	1	1	1	0 1	3	4	3	29 Substitution. This is a transportation project.
HRE-8	Lake Nokomis Habitat Enhancements	3	(	1	3	2		3 1	5	6	4	28 Common carp. CPL possibility.

		Design and 3	scope of work		riaiiiiiig		Other Funds	Du	uget	Maintenance	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.		The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	meaningful	Total	Member Comments
HRE-9	Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project	2	0	1	3	2		1 1	5	5 1	3		Status of Merz Feedlot lawsuit? Not prudent to waste \$ with ongoing
HRE-10	Northwest Bluffs	3	1	. 2	3	4		0 1	5	5 2	3	24	Map needed. Low Restore \$/ac. CPL possibility.
HRE-11	Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed	2	0	0	2	1		8 1	2	3	3	22	Supplies/materials detail? EXTREMELY High Enhance \$/ac.
	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	(	0	0	0	0
HAIS-1	A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While Restoring Ecosystems	4	6	5	9	4		8 0	6	7	5	54	Substitution, Research, Endowment
HAIS-2	Protect Aquatic Habitat from Asian Carp	2	4	5	3	3		1 0	5	3	3		Substitution, Temporary, Research, Poorly defined. High % Direct Support Svcs.
HAIS-3	Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) Management Program	2	3	3	3	2		0	2	3	4		Substitution, 100% Personnel & Equip, Temporary, Highly localized, Requires
HAIS-4	Statewide AIS Facilities and Equipment	2	3	4	4	2		0	2	2	2		"Protect in Fee"-False; Substitution, 100% Personnel & Equip, Temporary, Requires
HAIS-5	Invasive Species Net Duplication	1	0	0	1	1	10	0	1	0	0	14	Not OHF appropriate
	0	0	0	0	0	0	(	0	C	0	0	0	0
	0		Recommend for Hearing (Y or N)	0	0	0		0	(	0	0	0	0
CPL-1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program ML2014  Conservation Partners Legacy Metro Grant Program ML2014	0	Y	0	0	0		0		0	0		0
X-1	Contract Management	Ů	Y Y	0	0	0		0		0	0	- 0	0
X-1 X-2	Restoration Evaluations	Ů	Y	0	0	0		0 0		0	0	(	

Other Funds

Budget

Sustainability and Outcomes

Planning

Rep. Rick		Design and Scop	e of Work	Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability	Outcomes		
	0									and Maintenance			
		The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	conservation	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful		
	Project Title							substitute				Total	Member Comments
PA-1	DNR Wildlife Management Area and Scientific & Natural Area Acquisition Phase VI	4	2	5	4	5	1	4	3	4	3	35	SNA
PA-2	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase VI	4	3	5	4	5	3	4	3	4	4	39	
PA-3	Prairie Recovery ProjectPhase V	4	5	5	4	5	3	3	3	5	4	41	Restore
PA-4	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land AcquisitionPhase V	10	10	10	10	10	2	9	8	10	10	89	
PA-5	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex Phase IV	6	8	6	5	6	2	3	5	5	5	51	
PA-6	Accelerated protection of grassland and prairie habitat with (RIM) and (NPB) easements	5	10	8	5	10	1	4	5	4	5	57	
PA-7	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water Phase IV	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	9	10	9	96	
PA-8	Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase V	5	4	5	4	4	1	4	4	5	4	40	
PRE-1	DNR Grassland Phase VI	9	10	9	5	7	1	10	10	10	10	81	No Grazing No Fence
PRE-2	Anoka Sandplain Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Phase III	9	10	9	5	10	4	8	8	10	10	83	No Fence
PRE-3	Wirth Park Habitat Enhancements	10	10	10	9	10	4	8	7	10	10	88	
PRE-4	Crow-Hassan Prairie Complex Restoration and Enhancement	10	10	10	10	10	4	7	8	10	10	89	
PRE-5	Praire and Oak Savanna Restoration along Mississippi and Rum Rivers	10	10	10	9	9	4	8	8	10	10	88	
FA-1	Dynamic Forest Conservation	8	10	10	10	9	4	8	7	7	10	83	
FA-2	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Restoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	9	10	10	9	10	5	10	8	10	10	91	
FA-3	Camp Ripley ACUBProtecting one of the last great places in MinnesotaPhase IV	10	10	10	8	9	7	8	9	10	10	91	
FA-4	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat PartnershipPhase V	5	3	4	3	4	2	4	4	4	4	37	
FA-5	Protecting Pinelands Sands Aquifer Forestlands and Aquatic Habitat Phase 1	6	5	5	5	6	2	4	6	5	6	50	
FA-6	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County Phase V	5	3	5	3	4	2	4	5	4	4	39	
FA-7	State Forest Acquisitions Phase II- RJ Dorer Memorial Hardwoods State Forest Land Asset Project	6	10	6	4	7	3	4	7	7	7	61	
FA-8	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase II	6	10	7	5	8	1	5	5	6	7	60	
FRE-1	Big Woods Habitat Corridors in Scott County	8	10	9	8	7	3	6	7	7	8	73	

	0			Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinen MN conservation plans.		The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful	Total	Member Comments
RE-2	Floodplain Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River	9	10	8	9	8	3	8	8	9	10	82	
		4.0		10	10	10	10	10		10			
VA-1	RIM-WRP Partnership Phase VI	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
VA-2	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase VI	6	5	7	5	6	7	4	4	5	4	53	Prairie ??
VA-3	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase III	7	8	7	5	7	1	5	5	7	8	60	
VRE-1	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement Phase VI	7	8	7	5	8	2	5	5	8	9	64	
WRE-2	Living Shallow Lakes & Wetlands Initiative Phase IV	9	10	9	6	10	3	8	8	9	10	82	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IA-1	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Program - Phase VI	9	9	8	9	10	5	8	8	7	9	82	
A-2	Metro Big RiversPhase V	9	10	8	8	8	4	7	7	8	9	78	
A-3	Vermillion River WMA Acquisition	9	10	10	9	9	1	9	8	9	10	84	
IA-4	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes	7	9	6	7	9	6	8	8	8	8	76	
IA-5	3,500-foot Shoreland Acquisition on the St. Croix River	8	9	7	6	10	10	8	8	8	10	84	
IA-6	Habitat Protection/Restoration in Dakota County Phase V	9	10	8	9	10	5	8	7	8	9	83	
HA-7	Mustinka River Fish and Wildlife Habitat Corridor											76	
IRE-1	Rehabilitation Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat	9	10	9	8	8	1	7	7	7	10	80	
	Enhancement & Restoration Phase VI	9	10	9	9	10	3	8	7	7	8	00	
RE-2	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative Phase II	9	10	9	10	10	8	8	7	8	10	89	
IRE-3	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	9	10	9	10	10	7	8	7	8	10	88	
RE-4	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Phase II	5	4	5	4	5	1	4	4	4	4	40	
RE-5	Wildlife and Fishery Habitat Restoration and Enhancement -Metro Region	8	10	8	7	10	4	8	7	8	10	80	
IRE-6	Washington County's Last Best Places	8	8	7	9	10	3	7	8	8	10	78	
RE-7	Grey Cloud Slough Habitat Restoration	7	10	8	7	10	10	7	8	8	10	85	<u> </u>
IRE-8	Lake Nokomis Habitat Enhancements	7	10	8	7	10	4	7	8	8	10	79	
IRE-9	Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project	7	9	8	7	10	2	8	8	8	8	75	
IRE-10	Northwest Bluffs	8	9	7	8	10	1	7	7	8	8	73	
IRE-11	Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed	9	8	7	9	9	8	9	9	8	10	86	
HAIS-1	A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While Restoring Ecosystems	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	protect fish habitat
HAIS-2	Protect Aquatic Habitat from Asian Carp	8	10	9	9	9	3	9	8	9	10	84	protect fish habitat
HAIS-3	Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) Management Program	8	10	5	7	10	1	6	6	8	10	71	protect fish habitat

	Design and Scope of Work		e of Work	Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability	Outcomes		
										and			
	0									Maintenance			
		The strategies are	This is an urgent	If funded, the	The request is	The request	The request	The budget	The level of	The request has a	The stated		
		clear, effective,	problem needing	request will	fully grounded in	effectively	maximizes	supplements the	funding is	well-designed	outcomes provide		
		and directly	immediate	effectively	conservation		leverage with	organization's	reasonable for	plan for	meaningful		
		address the	attention.	address pertinent	science.	relevant LSOHC	other funding		'	sustainability and	evaluative data.		
		problem(s)		MN conservations		section priorities	sources.		of the budget.	maintenance.			
Project ID	Project Title	outlined.		plans.				and does not				Total	Member Comments
	Troject ritie							substitute					
HAIS-4	Statewide AIS Facilities and Equipment	5	10	5	6	5	1	4	4	3	10	53	protect fish habitat; pick one section
													of the state and start development
HAIS-5	Invasive Species Net Duplication	5	10	6	5	1	10	5	4	4	6	56	Protect fish habitat

CPL-1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program ML2014	
CPL-2	Conservation Partners Legacy Metro Grant Program ML2014	
X-1	Contract Management	
X-2	Restoration Evaluations	

Rep. Denny McNamara

Rep. Denr	ny McNamara	·			_		i	·		•	·		
		Design and Sco	pe of Work	Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Outcomes		
		The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide		
	Project Title			]	1 -					_	-		Member Comments
PA-1	DNR Wildlife Management Area and Scientific & Natural Area Acquisition Phase VI	8	8	8	7 8	9	4	9	8	7	7	74	
PA-2	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase VI	8		8		9	4					76	
PA-3	Prairie Recovery ProjectPhase V	8	9	9	9	9	7	9	7	8	8	83	
PA-4	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land AcquisitionPhase V	8	9	8	8	9	7	9	7	8	8	81	
PA-5	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex Phase IV	7	8	7	6	7	5	8	7	7	7	69	
PA-6	Accelerated protection of grassland and prairie habitat with (RIM) and (NPB) easements	7	7	8	7	8	4	8	5	7	8	69	
PA-7	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water Phase IV	9	9	9	10	9	8	9	8	9	8	88	
PA-8	Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase V	6	5	5	5	5	4	6	5	5	4	50	
PRE-1	DNR Grassland Phase VI	9	10	9	9	8	6	8	8	8	9	84	
PRE-2	Anoka Sandplain Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Phase III	7	8	8	8	8	7	7	8	7	8	76	
PRE-3	Wirth Park Habitat Enhancements	6	5	5	6	6	6	7	6	6	6	59	
PRE-4	Crow-Hassan Prairie Complex Restoration and Enhancement	6	6	6	7	7	5	7	7	6	6	63	
PRE-5	Praire and Oak Savanna Restoration along Mississippi and Rum Rivers	6	7	6	7	7	5	7	5	7	7	64	
FA-1	Dynamic Forest Conservation	7	7	6	7	6	6	8	7	7	7	68	
FA-2	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Restoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	8	9	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	7	79	
FA-3	Camp Ripley ACUBProtecting one of the last great places in MinnesotaPhase IV	7	7	8	7	7	8	6	7	8	7	72	
FA-4	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat PartnershipPhase V	6	6	6	7	5	4	6	5	6	6	57	
FA-5	Protecting Pinelands Sands Aquifer Forestlands and Aquatic Habitat Phase 1	7	7	7	8	7	4	7	7	8	7	69	
FA-6	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County Phase V	6	6	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	5	58	
FA-7	State Forest Acquisitions Phase II- RJ Dorer Memorial Hardwoods State Forest Land Asset Project	7	6	7	7	7	4	7	7	7	7	66	
FA-8	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase II	7	7	6	7	7	4	7	7	8	8	68	
FRE-1	Big Woods Habitat Corridors in Scott County	7	6	7	6	7	5	6	6	7	7	64	
FRE-2	Floodplain Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River	8	8	7	7	7	5	7	5	8	7	69	
WA-1	RIM-WRP Partnership Phase VI	8	8	9	9	9	10	8	8	8	8	85	

		Design and Sco	pe of Work	Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.	Total	Member Comments
WA-2	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program -	8	7	9	9	9	8	7	8	8	7	80	
	Phase VI												
WA-3	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase III	7	8	7	7	7	4	7	7	6	6	66	
WRE-1	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement Phase VI	8	8	7	8	8	4	7	7	7	8	72	
WRE-2	Living Shallow Lakes & Wetlands Initiative Phase IV	8	8	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	8	75	
HA-1	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Program - Phase VI	8	9	9	8	9	6	7	7	8	7	78	
HA-2	Metro Big RiversPhase V	8	9	7	8	8	7	7	8	8	7	77	
HA-3	Vermillion River WMA Acquisition	8	9	8	9	9	5	8	8	8	8	80	
HA-4	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	7	78	
HA-5	3,500-foot Shoreland Acquisition on the St. Croix River	7	5	5	5	5	8	7	6	6	5	59	
HA-6	Habitat Protection/Restoration in Dakota CountyPhase V	8	7	7	8	7	6	7	7	8	7	72	
HA-7	Mustinka River Fish and Wildlife Habitat Corridor Rehabilitation	9	8	8	8	8	4	8	7	7	7	74	
HRE-1	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement & RestorationPhase VI	8	8	9	9	9	7	8	7	8	8	81	
HRE-2	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative Phase II	7	7	7	6	7	8	7	7	6	7	69	
HRE-3	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	6	6	6	6	6	7	7	5	6	6	61	
HRE-4	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Phase II	7	7	7	7	8	4	8	8	7	7	70	
HRE-5	Wildlife and Fishery Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Metro Region	- 6	6	5	6	6	5	6	6	6	5	57	
HRE-6	Washington County's Last Best Places	5	7	6	5	7	5	7	6	6	5	59	
HRE-7	Grey Cloud Slough Habitat Restoration	6	6	6	5	5	8	6	6	6	6	60	
HRE-8	Lake Nokomis Habitat Enhancements	7	6	7	5	6	6	7	6	6	6	62	
HRE-9	Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project	7	6	7	5	5	4	7	6	6	5	58	
HRE-10	Northwest Bluffs	7	6	7	5	5	4	7	5	7	6	59	
	Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed	6	5	5	5	4	7	7	6	5 4	4	54	
HAIS-1	A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While Restoring Ecosystems	6	7	5	5	4	8	7	6	·	4	56	
HAIS-2	Protect Aquatic Habitat from Asian Carp	6	7	6	5	5	5	7	6	5	5	57	
HAIS-3	Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) Management Program	6	7	6	5	5	4	7	5	5	4	54	
HAIS-4	Statewide AIS Facilities and Equipment	6	7	5	4	4	4	7	5	5	4	51	
HAIS-5	Invasive Species Net Duplication	6	6	5	4	4	7	6	5	4	4	51	

		Design and Sco	pe of Work	Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and	Outcomes		
										Maintenance			
		are clear, effective, and directly address	needing immediate attention.	request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	effectively addresses relevant LSOHC	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide		
Project ID	Project Title			plans.				substitute.				Total	Member Comment
			Recommend for Hearing (Y or N)										•
CPL-1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program ML2014		У										
CPL-2	Conservation Partners Legacy Metro Grant Program ML2014		у										

X-1

X-2

Contract Management

Restoration Evaluations

BOD Ande	erson	Design and Sco	pe of Work	Planning	_		Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and	Outcomes		
Project II	) Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.	Total	Member Comments
PA-1	DNR Wildlife Management Area and Scientific & Natural	10	9	9	9	8	0	8	9	9	8	79	spoke to hunting and then said no
PA-2	Area AcquisitionPhase VI Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase VI	10	9	9	10	10	8	9	10	10	10	95	hunting  A little weak on leveraging
PA-3	Prairie Recovery ProjectPhase V	10	9	9	10	9	10	8	10	10	10	95	Good Project!
PA-4	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land AcquisitionPhase V	9	9	7	6	9	8	8	9	7	7	79	USFWS Science? Federal Ownership?
PA-5	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex Phase IV	10	6	7	8	8	0	8	8	8	7	70	Wetlands
PA-6	Accelerated protection of grassland and prairie habitat with (RIM) and (NPB) easements	9	9	7	8	8	0	8	8	8	7	72	Land not open to public?
PA-7	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and WaterPhase IV	9	9	7	8	7	0	7	7	8	7	69	Land not open High Cost.
PA-8	Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase V	10	7	9	8	9	0	9	9	9	8	78	0
PRE-1	DNR Grassland Phase VI	10	9	8	8	9	2	6	9	9	8	78	Farm Bill Partnership?
PRE-2	Anoka Sandplain Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Phase III	7	7	8	7	8	5	6	8	9	8	73	0
PRE-3	Wirth Park Habitat Enhancements	7	5	7	6	6	5	4	6	5	6	57	0
PRE-4	Crow-Hassan Prairie Complex Restoration and Enhancement	9	9	7	6	7	8	9	8	8	7	78	0
PRE-5	Praire and Oak Savanna Restoration along Mississippi and Rum Rivers	7	9	7	6	7	8	6	6	5	7	68	0
FA-1	Dynamic Forest Conservation	7	7	7	5	5	8	7	6	5	6	63	0
FA-2	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Restoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	7	4	7	5	5	8	4	6	5	6	57	Funding too much
FA-3	Camp Ripley ACUBProtecting one of the last great places in MinnesotaPhase IV	7	7	7	5	5	10	6	6	6	6	65	0
FA-4	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat PartnershipPhase V	10	10	10	10	9	6	8	8	9	7	87	0
FA-5	Protecting Pinelands Sands Aquifer Forestlands and Aquatic Habitat Phase 1	10	9	10	10	10	7	8	8	9	9	90	0
FA-6	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County Phase V	10	8	10	8	10	7	7	7	7	7	81	0
FA-7	State Forest Acquisitions Phase II- RJ Dorer Memorial Hardwoods State Forest Land Asset Project	8	8	7	8	8	9	8	7	7	7	77	0
FA-8	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase I	9	7	8	8	8	3	3	7	7	8	68	0
FRE-1	Big Woods Habitat Corridors in Scott County	8	6	5	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	60	0
FRE-2	Floodplain Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River	10	6	6	7	7	8	6	7	7	6	70	0
WA-1	RIM-WRP Partnership Phase VI	10	7	7	8	8	6	6	6	7	7	72	0
WA-2	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase VI	10	10	8	8	9	10	9	8	9	9	90	0
WA-3	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase III	10	8	8	8	8	2	8	8	9	8	77	0

		Design and Scope of Work		Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.	Total	Member Comments
WRE-1	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement Phase VI	8	7	9	8	8	7	8	8	8	8	79	0
WRE-2	Living Shallow Lakes & Wetlands Initiative Phase IV	10	8	9	9	8	6	8	9	9	7	83	0
HA-1	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Program - Phase VI	9	7	9	8	8	8	6	9	8	8	80	ا
HA-2	Metro Big Rivers Phase V	10	6	7	6	7	8	6	3	6	6	65	0
HA-3	Vermillion River WMA Acquisition	9	6	6	6	6	0	7	3	7	7	57	0
HA-4	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes	9	6	7	8	7	9	6	5	7	7	71	0
HA-5	3,500-foot Shoreland Acquisition on the St. Croix River	10	5	6	6	7	10	9	2	7	7	69	High cost for 15 acres
HA-6	Habitat Protection/Restoration in Dakota CountyPhase V	10	7	7	8	7	9	9	8	8	7	80	0
HA-7	Mustinka River Fish and Wildlife Habitat Corridor											78	
	Rehabilitation	10	9	8	8	7	2	10	8	8	8		0
HRE-1	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat											81	
	Enhancement & Restoration Phase VI	10	7	9	8	8	8	8	7	8	8		0
HRE-2	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative Phase II	9	5	7	7	7	9	6	7	6	6	69	0
HRE-3	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	9	5	5	6	7	9	8	7	8	8	72	0
HRE-4	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Phase II	10	8	9	8	8	5	9	9	8	8	82	0
HRE-5	Wildlife and Fishery Habitat Restoration and Enhancement												
	Metro Region	9	5	5	6	6	7	6	7	6	6	63	0
HRE-6	Washington County's Last Best Places	9	5	5	6	5	8	6	7	6	6	63	Project timeline?
HRE-7	Grey Cloud Slough Habitat Restoration	9	5	4	5	5	8	6	6	6	6	60	0
HRE-8	Lake Nokomis Habitat Enhancements	9	6	7	7	8	8	6	8	8	7	74	0
HRE-9	Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project	9	6	7	6	7	6	7	8	8	6	70	0
HRE-10	Northwest Bluffs	9	5	7	5	5	3	6	6	8	7	61	0
HRE-11	Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed	9	9	6	6	7	8	9	9	9	7	79	0
HAIS-1	A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While Restoring Ecosystems	9	5	5	5	5	8	4	6	6	4	57	0
HAIS-2	Protect Aquatic Habitat from Asian Carp	9	8	7	7	8	6	6	6	6	6	69	0
HAIS-3	Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) Aquatic												
	Invasive Species (AIS) Management Program	9	6	5	5	5	3	4	6	8	6	57	0
HAIS-4	Statewide AIS Facilities and Equipment	9	8	4	4	5	3	4	4	5	6	52	0
HAIS-5	Invasive Species Net Duplication	8	5	5	4	3	8	5	4	5	6	53	0

		Recommend fo Hearing? Y or N
CPL-1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program ML2014	у
CPL-2	Conservation Partners Legacy Metro Grant Program ML2014	у
X-1	Contract Management	У
X-2	Restoration Evaluations	у

KOII SCIIAI	n Schara	Design and Scop	e of Work	Planning	•		Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	and Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.	Total	Member Comments
PA-1	DNR Wildlife Management Area and Scientific & Natural Area Acquisition Phase VI	9	7	9	9	9	5	6	7	9	9	79	high personnel costs?
PA-2	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase VI	9	8	9	9	9	6	8	8	9	9	84	
PA-3	Prairie Recovery ProjectPhase V	9	9	9	9	9	6	8	7	9	9	84	high personnel costs?
PA-4	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land AcquisitionPhase V	9	9	9	9	9	5	8	8	9	9	84	
PA-5	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex Phase IV	9	9	9	9	9	6	8	9	9	9	86	
PA-6	Accelerated protection of grassland and prairie habitat with (RIM) and (NPB) easements	9	9	9	9	9	5	8	6	9	9	82	high costs per acre?
PA-7	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and WaterPhase IV	9	9	9	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	91	
PA-8	Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase V	7	7	9	9	9	5	9	8	9	9	81	
PRE-1	DNR Grassland Phase VI	9	8	9	9	9	8	8	8	9	9	86	high personnel costs?
PRE-2	Anoka Sandplain Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Phase III	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	89	
PRE-3	Wirth Park Habitat Enhancements	9	8	9	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	88	
PRE-4	Crow-Hassan Prairie Complex Restoration and Enhancement	9	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	89	
PRE-5	Praire and Oak Savanna Restoration along Mississippi and Rum Rivers	9	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	89	
FA-1	Dynamic Forest Conservation	7	8	8	9	9	5	9	8	7	9	79	
FA-2	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Restoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River	9	8	9	9	8	9	9	9	9	9	88	DNR wolf rules must be required
FA-3	Camp Ripley ACUBProtecting one of the last great places in MinnesotaPhase IV	9	8	9	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	90	
FA-4	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat PartnershipPhase V	9	8	9	9	9	6	9	7	9	9	84	high costs per acre?
FA-5	Protecting Pinelands Sands Aquifer Forestlands and Aquatic Habitat Phase 1	9	7	9	9	9	6	8	8	9	9	83	
FA-6	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County Phase V	9	8	9	9	9	6	8	7	9	9	83	
FA-7	State Forest Acquisitions Phase II- RJ Dorer Memorial Hardwoods State Forest Land Asset Project	9	8	9	9	9	7	8	8	9	9	85	high costs per acre?
FA-8	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase II	9	8	9	9	9	5	8	6	9	9	81	high personnel costs?

		Design and Scope of Work PI		Planning Oth		Other Funds	Budget		and	Outcomes			
Project ID		The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.	Total	Member Comments
FRE-1	Big Woods Habitat Corridors in Scott County	9	8	9	9	9	8	8	9	9	9	87	
FRE-2	Floodplain Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River	9	8	9	9	9	9	9	10	8	9	89	
WA-1	RIM-WRP Partnership Phase VI	9	10	9	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	92	
WA-2	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase VI	9	10	9	9	9	7	9	9	9	9	89	
WA-3	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase III	9	9	9	9	9	7	9	9	9	9	88	
WRE-1	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement Phase VI	9	9	9	9	9	7	9	7	9	9	86	high personnel costs?
WRE-2	Living Shallow Lakes & Wetlands Initiative Phase IV	9	10	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	9	90	high personnel costs?
HA-1	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Program - Phase VI	9	10	9	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	92	
HA-2	Metro Big Rivers Phase V	9	8	9	9	9	8	9	8	9	9	87	park and trail funds needed here, too
HA-3	Vermillion River WMA Acquisition	9	8	9	9	9	6	9	8	9	9	85	why not sell buildings?
HA-4	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	
HA-5	3,500-foot Shoreland Acquisition on the St. Croix River	9	10	9	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	92	
HA-6	Habitat Protection/Restoration in Dakota County Phase V	9	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	89	
HA-7	Mustinka River Fish and Wildlife Habitat Corridor Rehabilitation	9	10	9	9	9	7	9	7	9	9	87	
HRE-1	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement & Restoration Phase VI	9	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	91	
HRE-2	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative Phase II	9	9	9	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	91	
	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	9	9	9	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	91	
	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Phase II	9	9	9	9	9	7	9	8	9	9	87	
HRE-5	Wildlife and Fishery Habitat Restoration and Enhancement -Metro Region	9	9	9	9	9	9	7	8	9	9	87	duplicate proposals here?
HRE-6	Washington County's Last Best Places	9	9	9	9	9	6	9	8	9	9	86	high costs per acre?
HRE-7	Grey Cloud Slough Habitat Restoration	9	8	9	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	90	
HRE-8	Lake Nokomis Habitat Enhancements	9	9	9	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	91	

Project ID		and directly	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	Planning  If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC	Other Funds  The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.		Sustainability and Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful	Total	Member Comments
HRE-9	Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	9	89	Clean water fund needs to be involved
HRE-10	Northwest Bluffs	9	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	89	
HRE-11	Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	
HAIS-1	A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While Restoring Ecosystems	7	9	6	9	6	8	8	8	6	6	73	administrative request only
HAIS-2	Protect Aquatic Habitat from Asian Carp	9	10	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	9	90	
HAIS-3	Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) Management Program	7	9	9	7	9	7	9	8	8	9	82	
HAIS-4	Statewide AIS Facilities and Equipment	8	10	8	7	9	7	9	8	8	9	83	both infected and non-infected lakes?; what about private boat
HAIS-5	Invasive Species Net Duplication	7	7	7	9	9	10	9	8	8	9	83	Is buying nets appropriate expenditure?

		Recommend for
		Hearing? Y or N
CPL-1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program ML2014	
		у
CPL-2	Conservation Partners Legacy Metro Grant Program	
	ML2014	у
X-1	Contract Management	
		n
X-2	Restoration Evaluations	
		y

**Senator Tom Saxhaug** 

Senator 10			e of Work	Planning	•		Other Funds	Budget		and	Outcomes		
Proiect ID	O Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.	Total	Member Comments
PA-1	DNR Wildlife Management Area and Scientific &	7	2	7	7	7	1	1	2	5	7	46	
	Natural Area AcquisitionPhase VI												
PA-2	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase VI	7	2	7	7	7	1	1	2	5	7	46	
PA-3	Prairie Recovery ProjectPhase V	7	4	7	7	7	1	2	1	3	7	46	
PA-4	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land AcquisitionPhase V		4	7	7	3	1	2	4	3	7	45	
PA-5	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex Phase IV	7	2	7	7	7	1	3	3	5	7	49	
PA-6	Accelerated protection of grassland and prairie habitat with (RIM) and (NPB) easements	7	10	7	7	1	1	1	3	8	7	52	
PA-7	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water Phase IV	7	3	7	7	1	10	3	2	8	7	55	
PA-8	Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase V	7	3	7	7	7	1	2	4	5	7	50	
PRE-1	DNR Grassland Phase VI	7	6	7	7	7	1	4	7	3	7	56	
PRE-2	Anoka Sandplain Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Phase III	7	6	7	7	7	2	4	7	3	7	57	
PRE-3	Wirth Park Habitat Enhancements	7	7	7	7	7	2	7	4	5	7	60	
PRE-4	Crow-Hassan Prairie Complex Restoration and Enhancement	7	7	7	7	7	1	7	7	5	7	62	
PRE-5	Praire and Oak Savanna Restoration along Mississippi and Rum Rivers	7	6	7	7	7	2	7	5	5	7	60	
FA-1	Dynamic Forest Conservation	7	7	7	7	7	2	7	7	7	7	65	
FA-2	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Restoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River	7	7	7	7	7	3	7	7	7	7	66	
FA-3	Camp Ripley ACUBProtecting one of the last great places in MinnesotaPhase IV	7	7	7	7	7	7	1	3	7	7	60	
FA-4	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat PartnershipPhase V	7	7	7	7	3	4	2	2	7	7	53	
FA-5	Protecting Pinelands Sands Aquifer Forestlands and Aquatic Habitat Phase 1	7	7	7	7	7	2	7	7	7	7	65	
FA-6	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County Phase V	7	10	7	7	7	3	7	6	7	7	68	

		Design and Scop	e of Work	Planning			Other Funds Budget		and	d			
Proiect ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	fully grounded in conservation	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.	Total	Member Comments
FA-7	State Forest Acquisitions Phase II- RJ Dorer Memorial Hardwoods State Forest Land Asset Project	7	7	7	7	7	2	6	7	7	7	64	
FA-8	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase II	7	7	7	7	1	1	6	5	7	7	55	
FRE-1	Big Woods Habitat Corridors in Scott County	8	8	7	7	7	2	7	7	7	7	67	
FRE-2	Floodplain Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River	8	8	7	7	7	4	7	8	7	7	70	
WA-1	RIM-WRP Partnership Phase VI	7	7	7	7	1	10	1	6	5	7	58	
WA-2	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase VI	7	3	7	7	7	4	1	1	3	7	47	
WA-3	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase III	7	3	7	7	7	1	4	6	8	7	57	
WRE-1	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase VI	7	5	7	7	7	1	1	7	5	7	54	
WRE-2	Living Shallow Lakes & Wetlands Initiative Phase IV	7	5	7	7	7	1	3	6	4	7	54	
HA-1	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Program - Phase VI	7	2	7	7	7	2	1	7	5	7	52	
HA-2	Metro Big Rivers Phase V	7	10	7	7	3	2	2	3	2	7	50	
HA-3	Vermillion River WMA Acquisition	7	10	7	7	1	1	7	1	5	7	53	
HA-4	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes	7	10	7	7	3	5	7	3	5	7	61	
HA-5	3,500-foot Shoreland Acquisition on the St. Croix River	7	10	7	7	7	10	7	1	5	7	68	
HA-6	Habitat Protection/Restoration in Dakota County Phase V	7	10	7	7	1	3	2	5	2	7	51	
HA-7	Mustinka River Fish and Wildlife Habitat Corridor Rehabilitation	7	8	7	7	7	1	7	2	5	7	58	
HRE-1	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement & RestorationPhase VI	7	6	7	7	7	1	1	1	8	7	52	
HRE-2	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative Phase II	7	7	7	7	7	6	7	7	7	7	69	
HRE-3	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	7	10	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	73	
HRE-4	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Phase II	7	10	7	7	7	1	5	7	7	7	65	

		Design and Scope of Work		Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	-	request will	fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful	Total	Member Comments
HRE-5	Wildlife and Fishery Habitat Restoration and Enhancem	€ 7	7	7	7	7	3	7	7	7	7	66	
											,		
HRE-6	Washington County's Last Best Places	7	7	7	7	7	3	7	7	7	7	66	
HRE-7	Grey Cloud Slough Habitat Restoration	7	7	7	7	7	8	7	6	7	7	70	
HRE-8	Lake Nokomis Habitat Enhancements	7	7	7	7	7	4	7	8	7	7	68	
HRE-9	Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project	7	7	7	7	7	3	7	7	7	7	66	
HRE-10	Northwest Bluffs	7	7	7	7	7	1	7	7	7	7	64	
HRE-11	Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed	7	7	7	7	7	5	7	7	7	7	68	
HAIS-1	A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While		6	7	7	7	10	7	7	7	7	73	
IJAI2-I	A remainent Program to Sustamably Control Als Wille	0	0	,	,	/	10	/	,	,	/	15	
HAIS-2	Protect Aquatic Habitat from Asian Carp	8	6	7	7	7	1	7	7	7	7	64	
HAIS-3	Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) Aquatic I	r 7	6	7	7	7	1	7	7	7	7	63	
HAIS-4	Statewide AIS Facilities and Equipment	7	6	7	7	3	1	7	7	7	7	59	
HAIS-5	Invasive Species Net Duplication	7	6	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	70	

CPL-1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program ML2014	١
CPL-2	Conservation Partners Legacy Metro Grant Program ML2014	,
X-1	Contract Management	,
X-2	Restoration Evaluations	,

Design and Scope of Work

				•					and Maintenance			
Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	effectively address pertinent		The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	plan for	meaningful	Total	Member Comments
DNR Wildlife Management Area and Scientific & Natural Area Acquisition Phase VI	9	8	8	8	9	1	5	7	8	9	72	Not open to hunt/fish. Why?
Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase VI	10	9	9	9	10	4	7	7	8	9	82	0
Prairie Recovery ProjectPhase V	10	10	9	9	10	10	8	9	10	10	95	0
Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land AcquisitionPhase V	10	10	9	9	10	10	10	9	9	9	95	0
Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex Phase IV	10	9	9	9	9	4	9	8	9	10	86	0
Accelerated protection of grassland and prairie habitat with (RIM) and (NPB) easements	9	8	7	7	8	2	5	6	6	8	66	0
Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water Phase IV	10	9	8	8	9	9	9	9	8	9	88	0
Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase V	10	9	9	9	10	1	9	6	8	8	79	0
DNR Grassland Phase VI	10	9	9	9	10	1	8	6	9	9	80	Enhance
Anoka Sandplain Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Phase III	10	8	7	8	8	6	8	6	8	8	77	Enhance
Wirth Park Habitat Enhancements	10	7	7	6	6	6	7	3	7	7	66	Cost very high pr acre/4016.00
Crow-Hassan Prairie Complex Restoration and Enhancement	10	8	9	9	9	9	10	8	8	8	88	0
Praire and Oak Savanna Restoration along Mississippi and Rum Rivers	10	6	7	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	80	Supplies and materials 250K ??
Dynamic Forest Conservation	10	9	9	8	9	10	9	8	8	9	89	0
Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Restoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10	Const/Required ownership ?
Camp Ripley ACUBProtecting one of the last great places in MinnesotaPhase IV	10	7	7	8	8	10	7	7	8	9	81	0
Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat PartnershipPhase V	10	9	9	9	9	8	8	9	9	9	89	0
Protecting Pinelands Sands Aquifer Forestlands and Aquatic Habitat Phase 1	10	10	8	8	9	2	9	9	9	8	82	0
Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County Phase V	10	9	8	8	8	4	8	9	9	8	81	0
	Natural Area AcquisitionPhase VI  Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase VI  Prairie Recovery ProjectPhase V  Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land AcquisitionPhase V  Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex Phase IV  Accelerated protection of grassland and prairie habitat with (RIM) and (NPB) easements  Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and WaterPhase IV  Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase V  DNR Grassland Phase VI  Anoka Sandplain Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Phase III  Wirth Park Habitat Enhancements  Crow-Hassan Prairie Complex Restoration and Enhancement  Praire and Oak Savanna Restoration along Mississippi and Rum Rivers  Dynamic Forest Conservation  Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Restoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Camp Ripley ACUBProtecting one of the last great places in MinnesotaPhase IV  Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat PartnershipPhase V  Protecting Pinelands Sands Aquifer Forestlands and Aquatic Habitat Phase 1  Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass	are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.  Project Title  DNR Wildlife Management Area and Scientific & 9 Natural Area AcquisitionPhase VI Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase V I  Prairie Recovery ProjectPhase V 10  Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land AcquisitionPhase V 10  Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex Phase IV 10  Accelerated protection of grassland and prairie habitat with (RIM) and (NPB) easements 10  Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase V 10  DNR Grassland Phase VI 10  Anoka Sandplain Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Phase III Wirth Park Habitat Enhancements 10  Crow-Hassan Prairie Complex Restoration and Enhancement 10 Enhancement 10  Praire and Oak Savanna Restoration along Mississippi and Rum Rivers 10  Dynamic Forest Conservation 10  Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Restoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River 10  Camp Ripley ACUBProtecting one of the last great places in Minnesota —Phase IV Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership —Phase V 10  Protecting Pinelands Sands Aquifer Forestlands and Aquatic Habitat Phase 1 10  Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass 10	are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.  Project Title  DNR Wildlife Management Area and Scientific & 9 8 8  Natural Area AcquisitionPhase VI	Project Title   DNR Wildlife Management Area and Scientific & Natural Area Acquisition Phase VI   D   9   9   9   9   9   9   9   9   9	Project Title	are clear, effective, effective, effective, effective, and continued a directly address set to problem needing in the problem of the problem	Project Title	are clear reflective, and directly address; the problemin, felfectively address problem needing effectively address prime to reflectively address prime to reflect the problemic) outlined.  Project Title  Project Title  DINR Wildlife Management Area and Scientific & Park and Scientific	are clear, problem needles directly address perfectively affectively and present of effectively and present of everage with programation of traditional positions. The project TRIE  **Project TRIE***  **DIRK Wildlife Management Area and Scientific & Park Martina Area and Scientific & Park Mart	Project Field   Project Fiel	The strategies   Part   The strategies   Part   Strategies   Part   Strategies   Part   Strategies   Part   Part	The standard of the standard

Planning

Other Funds

Budget

0

Outcomes

Sustainability

0

0

		Ü	•		Ü					and Maintenance			
Proiect ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	plan for	outcomes provide meaningful	Total	Member Comments
FA-7	State Forest Acquisitions Phase II- RJ Dorer Memorial Hardwoods State Forest Land Asset Project	10	8	8	8	8	4	6	7	8	8	75	0
FA-8	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase II	10	8	6	6	8	1	8	9	9	8	73	0
FRE-1	Big Woods Habitat Corridors in Scott County	10	8	8	9	9	10	7	7	7	8	83	0
FRE-2	Floodplain Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River	9	7	7	7	7	10	8	7	7	7	76	0
WA-1	RIM-WRP Partnership Phase VI	9	9	9	9	9	10	8	8	9	9	89	0
WA-2	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase VI	10	9	8	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	91	0
WA-3	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase III	10	9	8	8	9	1	9	8	8	8	78	0
WRE-1	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement Phase VI	8	8	9	9	8	1	8	9	8	8	76	0
WRE-2	Living Shallow Lakes & Wetlands Initiative Phase IV	9	9	9	9	9	4	9	9	9	9	85	0
HA-1	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Program - Phase VI	9	9	9	8	9	10	8	8	9	9	88	0
HA-2	Metro Big RiversPhase V	8	7	7	7	7	8	8	9	8	8	77	0
HA-3	Vermillion River WMA Acquisition	5	5	6	6	6	1	5	6	6	5	51	15K pr. Acre
HA-4	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes	10	8	8	8	9	1	8	9	8	8	77	0
HA-5	3,500-foot Shoreland Acquisition on the St. Croix River	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10	160K pr. Acre/with no hunting
HA-6	Habitat Protection/Restoration in Dakota County Phase V	8	8	8	9	8	10	8	7	7	9	82	0
HA-7	Mustinka River Fish and Wildlife Habitat Corridor Rehabilitation	10	9	9	9	9	1	10	8	9	9	83	0
HRE-1	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement & RestorationPhase VI	9	9	9	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	91	0
HRE-2	St. Louis River Restoration InitiativePhase II	9	8	8	8	8	10	10	8	8	8	85	0

Planning

Other Funds

Budget

Sustainability

Outcomes

										and Maintenance			
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.		The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	plan for	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.	Total	Member Comments
HRE-3	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration	7	7	7	9	6	4	4	6	7	7	64	Was Fed disaster \$s involved??
	Program		·										, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
HRE-4	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Phase II	9	8	9	8	9	1	10	10	8	9	81	0
HRE-5	Wildlife and Fishery Habitat Restoration and Enhancement -Metro Region	9	7	6	7	8	10	9	7	9	9	81	0
HRE-6	Washington County's Last Best Places	7	7	7	7	6	10	10	9	8	8	79	0
HRE-7	Grey Cloud Slough Habitat Restoration	6	8	7	6	9	10	5	2	8	7	68	0
HRE-8	Lake Nokomis Habitat Enhancements	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10	Carp barrier
HRE-9	Lake Independence Fish Habitat Improvement Project	9	8	9	9	9	3	10	9	9	8	83	0
HRE-10	Northwest Bluffs	7	7	7	9	9	1	7	8	8	8	71	cost pr. Acre high
HRE-11	Habitat Enhancement in the Sauk Lake watershed	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10	38K pr. Acre cost.Extreme
HAIS-1	A Permanent Program to Sustainably Control AIS While Restoring Ecosystems	7	7	2	2	1	10	10	3	8	8	58	Creat such a fund const. questionable
HAIS-2	Protect Aquatic Habitat from Asian Carp	7	6	2	2	5	8	9	5	7	9	60	0
HAIS-3	Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) Management Program	8	8	7	5	5	1	9	3	6	8	60	0
HAIS-4	Statewide AIS Facilities and Equipment	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10	If needed, statewide bonding should be considered by admin.
HAIS-5	Invasive Species Net Duplication	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10	Priviate business responsibility??

Other Funds

Budget

Sustainability

Outcomes

Planning

Recommend for Hearing (Y or N)

CPL-1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program ML2014	Υ
CPL-2	Conservation Partners Legacy Metro Grant Program ML2014	N
X-1	Contract Management	Υ
X-2	Restoration Evaluations	Υ